Original Research

Study on N₂O Catalytic Decomposition over NiO-Mn₂O₃@SiO₂ Catalyst

Yuanyang Zhang^{1°*}, Kun Bao¹, Wenqian Zhang¹, Yongle Zhao¹, Linqi Wang²

¹School of New Materials and Chemical Engineering, Tangshan University, Tangshan 063000, China ²School of Artificial Intelligence, Tangshan University, Tangshan 063000, China

> Received: 12 September 2024 Accepted: 2 December 2024

Abstract

A series of NiO/SiO₂ catalysts with different NiO loadings were first prepared using the impregnation method. The basic characterization of NiO/SiO₂ samples was characterized by BET and XRD. The performance of NiO/SiO₂ on catalytic decomposition of N₂O was evaluated in a fixed bed reactor, which indicated that the best performance on catalytic decomposition of N₂O was the sample when the loading of NiO was 16.0 (wt%), NiO(16.0%)/SiO₂. On this basis, a series of NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃(x%)/SiO₂ catalysts were prepared, respectively. The experimental results showed that when the loadings of Mn₂O₃ was 6.0 (wt%), i.e., NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃(c.0%)/SiO₂, its performance on catalytic N₂O decomposition was the best. The NiO (16.0%)-Mn₂O₃(x%)/SiO₂ samples were characterized by using XRD and H₂-TPR. The stability test in the laboratory fixed bed reactor lasted for 100 hours and showed that the NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃ (6.0%)/SiO₂ catalyst had good stability for the N₂O catalytic decomposition, which provided the basis for further relative research.

Keywords: nitrous oxide, impregnant method, catalysts, catalytic decomposition

Introduction

As early as 1997, the Kyoto Protocol specified six major greenhouse gases: carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), and sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆), which have been included in the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Among them, N₂O has extremely stable properties and can exist in the atmosphere for about 150 years [1, 2]. The main hazard of N₂O is its destruction of the ozone layer, the formation of ozone holes, and ultimately, the greenhouse

effect, which has been regarded as a major contributor to global warming and ozone layer depletion [3]. Research has shown that N₂O is growing at a rate of approximately 0.2-0.3% per year. Although the concentration of N_2O in the atmosphere is much lower than that of the greenhouse gas CO2, its global warming potential (GWP) is about 310 times that of carbon dioxide, and its contribution to the greenhouse effect is about 6-10%. The emission of greenhouse gases seriously pollutes the environment, resulting in melting glaciers, rising sea levels, changes in climate zones, etc., which has led to species extinction because of the greenhouse effect. The greenhouse effect can also cause rapid changes in local weather conditions, resulting in environmental problems such as high temperatures, heat waves, tropical storms, tornadoes, etc. [4]. Consequently, addressing N_2O emissions has emerged as a critical research focus in efforts to mitigate its global warming potential [5].

The main sources of N₂O in the atmosphere are the emissions of nature and human activities; the former mainly comes from the ocean [6, 7] and natural soil [8], and the latter mainly comes from human agricultural production activities [9], fossil fuel combustion [10], industrial production emissions [11, 12], and wastewater discharge [13, 14]. The emission of N₂O from industrial production is about 0.9Tg/year, accounting for 15-20% of the total direct anthropogenic emissions [4, 9, 11], which mainly come from chemical production processes such as nitric acid, nylon-66, and adipic acid. Among them, the emission of N₂O from adipic acid plants accounts for about 70-80% of the total industrial exhaust emissions [15]. Although the proportion of N₂O generated from industrial exhaust to total human emissions is relatively low, with the development of industry, the demand for chemical products has gradually increased, and the proportion of N₂O generated from industrial exhaust to total human emissions has gradually increased. Countries around the world have been paying more and more attention to reducing N₂O emissions from industrial exhaust. In China's 14th Five-Year Plan of Clean and Efficient Utilization of Coal Technology, it is further required to reduce the pollutant emissions from coal combustion significantly. The value of N₂O emission is limited to 80 mg/Nm3, which has not yet been met by many coal-fired power plants. It is, therefore, imperative to develop N₂O emission reduction technology that takes NO into account to explore a completely integrated pollutant management method [16, 17]. The chemical properties of N₂O are extremely stable, and how to decompose or treat it to reduce its impact on air pollution has been a research hotspot in the field of chemical engineering in recent years.

Currently, the reported N₂O emission reduction technologies mainly include separation and purification [15], thermal decomposition [18], selective catalytic reduction using reducing agents such as H₂, CH₄, CO, and NH₃ [19-22], and catalytic decomposition [23]. The cost of separation and purification technology is high, and direct thermal decomposition technology can only be achieved under high-temperature conditions, resulting in significant equipment investment. Although selective catalytic reduction could catalyze the decomposition of N₂O at lower temperatures, the use of some reducing agents could lead to the production of the greenhouse gas CO₂, which is not conducive to energy conservation and emission reduction. It is generally believed that catalytic decomposition is a promising technology for N₂O emission reduction. The reported catalytic decomposition methods mainly focus on precious metal catalysts [24, 25], hydrotalcite-like catalysts [26], and supported transition metal oxide catalysts [27-31]. So far, the domestic N₂O decomposition catalyst technology is still in the development stage, mostly in small-scale research. Therefore, developing highperformance N₂O decomposition catalysts to reduce

greenhouse gas emissions is of great significance for the goal of achieving a carbon peak before 2030 and carbon neutrality before 2060 in China. This article basically reported the use of the composite metal oxides of nickel and manganese supported on SiO_2 to achieve N₂O decomposition.

Materials and Methods

Materials

All of the SiO₂ powder, nickel (II) nitrate hexahydrate [Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O], and 50% manganese (II) nitrate aqueous solution [Mn(NO₃)₂] are analytical grade (AR) provided by Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., China. Nitrogen (N₂) and oxygen (O₂) are both produced by Tangshan Zhongsheng Industrial Gas Co., Ltd., China, with a purity of 99.99 (v/v)%. Nitrous oxide (N₂O), produced by Luoyang Feilier Special Gas Co., Ltd., China, has a purity of 99.999 (v/v)%. The deionized water used in the experiment was prepared by using the Milli-Q ultrapure water system in the laboratory (conductivity≤0.055µS/cm).

Sample Preparation

The catalysts used in this study were prepared by the impregnation method. The SiO₂ used was ground and sieved to obtain a carrier with a mesh size of 40-20 (0.425-0.850 mm), which was firstly dried in a drying oven at 110-120°C lasted for 10-12 hr. A suitable precursor aqueous solution based on the preprepared catalyst components and content was prepared, and the solution was sonicated at room temperature for 0.5-2.0 hr to ensure the formation of a uniform precursor aqueous solution before use. During the impregnation process, the prepared precursor aqueous solution was first transferred into a beaker, which was located in a constant temperature water bath at 45.0±5.0°C; then, the prepared SiO₂ carrier was slowly added to the precursor solution under stirring conditions. After the SiO₂ carrier was completely added to the precursor solution while stirring continuously for 0.5-1.0 hr, it was filtered and dried in a drying oven at 105-110°C for 16-24 hr. Then, the precursor catalyst was treated at 550°C for 4-6 hr in a muffle furnace through the programmed heating method. After calcination, the sample with 40-20 mesh was collected and used to evaluate its catalytic decomposition performance of N₂O. The content of active components was determined by X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (XRF) analysis.

Characterization

The specific surface area and pore structure were analyzed by using N_2 adsorption/desorption on a Micromeritics ASAP 2460 analyzer. X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (XRF) was performed using a Philips Magix PW2424 X-ray fluorescence spectrometer, and X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed on a Rigaku SmartLab X-ray diffractometer by using CuK alpha radiation, tube voltage of 40 kV, and tube current of 100 mA. The microstructure of the photocatalyst before and after the reaction was observed using a Hitachi S-4800 scanning electron microscope (SEM) with an acceleration voltage of 5.0 kV during the imaging process. The Temperature Programmed Reduction (H₂-TPR) analysis was performed on an AMI-300IR fully automatic chemical adsorption instrument. 0.1 g (40-60 mesh) of catalyst was placed in a microreactor and pretreated at the temperature of 150-300°C in an argon atmosphere for 30 min. After cooling to room temperature, it was switched to an H₂/Ar atmosphere as well, and the baseline stabilized. The temperature was raised to 800°C at a rate of 10-20°C/min. The hydrogen signal of the reaction tail gas was detected online by using a GAM 200 gas mass spectrometer (Inprocess Instruments, Germany).

Activity Evaluation

The performance of catalytic decomposition of N₂O was evaluated in a fixed bed reactor system, and the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1. The reactor material was made of 310S stainless steel with an inner diameter of 8.0 mm. The outlet of the reactor was connected to a cooler, and the reaction products were condensed and entered the gas-liquid separator. The gas mixture was discharged through a back pressure valve and accumulated through a metal float flowmeter. It could be directly analyzed by gas chromatography by switching through a four-way valve. It was analyzed by using gas chromatography GC-2010 PLUS (Shimadzu), with a 5A molecular sieve and Porapak Q packed column, and a TCD detector. The conversion ratio or activity of the catalyst was calculated based on the following method.

$$N_2O \text{ conversion } (\%) = (N_2O_{\text{conversion(in)}}) - N_2O_{\text{conversion(out)}}) / (N_2O_{\text{conversion(in)}}) \times 100\%$$

Results and Discussion

 SiO_2 -supported catalysts with different NiO contents were first prepared by using the impregnation method. The designed contents (wt) supported on SiO_2 were 4.0%, 8.0%, 12.0%, 16.0%, and 20.0%, respectively. X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (XRF) results indicated that the actual loadings of NiO were 4.01%, 7.96%, 12.05%, 15.68%, and 19.69%, respectively, which was basically the designed value. In the following discussion, the loadings of NiO in the series of catalysts were based on the designed value for the reason of simplicity.

The specific surface areas of the carrier SiO₂ and samples of NiO(4.0%)/SiO₂, NiO(8.0%)/SiO₂, NiO(12.0%)/SiO₂, NiO(16.0%)/SiO₂, and NiO(20.0%)/ SiO₂ were 292 m²/g, 288 m²/g, 280 m²/g, 275 m²/g, 268 m²/g, and 259 m²/g, respectively. It indicated that the specific surface area of the prepared NiO/SiO₂ catalysts gradually decreased with the increase in the content of active components on support. This could probably be because the agglomeration phenomenon of NiO on the surface of the support was gradually increasing as well, and some pores of the support were blocked with the increasing of NiO content, which resulted in a decreasing trend in specific surface area.

The XRD spectra of the prepared catalysts $NiO(4.0\%)/SiO_2$, $NiO(8.0\%)/SiO_2$, $NiO(12.0\%)/SiO_2$, $NiO(16.0\%)/SiO_2$, and $NiO(20.0\%)/SiO_2$ were shown in Fig. 2, respectively. The broad diffraction peak appearing in 2 θ at approximately 22° was the characteristic peak of SiO₂ [32], while the appearing diffraction peaks at approximately 37°, 43°, 63°, 75°, and 79° were the diffraction peaks of the face-centered cubic crystal faces (111), (200), (220), (311), and (222) of NiO (JCPDS No. 47-1049), respectively [33, 34]. Using the Scherrer



Fig. 1. The schematic diagram of the fixed bed reactor used for catalyst performance evaluation.

BV-ball valve, CV-check valve, F-filter, FT/FV-mass flow meter, GC-gas chromatography, H₂O(in)-condensate inlet, H₂O(out)condensate outlet, M/PreH-gas mixing preheater, PC-chromatography workstation, PCV-automatic pressure reducing valve, PI-pressure sensor, R-fixed bed reactor, TC-controlled thermocouple, T/R-reactor bed temperature thermocouple, T/W-C-condenser temperature thermocouple, Vent-gas vent. W-C-water-cooled condenser, 6-W/V-gas six way valve.

formula, the average particle sizes of NiO on support for catalysts of NiO(4.0%)/SiO₂, NiO(8.0%)/SiO₂, NiO(12.0%)/SiO₂, NiO(16.0%)/SiO₂, and NiO(20.0%)/ SiO₂ were 12.4 nm, 13.6 nm, 14.5 nm, 15.8 nm, and 16.4 nm, respectively. This indicated that the average particle size of NiO distributed on the SiO₂ support showed a slight increasing trend under the experimental conditions of preparation with the increasing of NiO loadings.

The conversion ratio of N₂O decomposition over the above prepared NiO(x%)/SiO₂ catalysts was shown in Fig. 3 as a function of reaction temperature. The experimental conditions are listed below: gas composition (v/v) 14.6% N₂O+55.2% N₂+30.2% O₂, volume space velocity of 5000 h⁻¹, and system pressure of 0.1 MPa. The experimental results showed that the activity of decomposing N₂O over the prepared NiO(x%)/SiO₂ catalysts was basically increasing with the increasing loadings of NiO on support under the experimental conditions of preparation and performance evaluation used. It showed that when the NiO loading reached 16.0 (wt%), further increasing the loadings of NiO slightly decreased its activity of the catalyst for decomposing N₂O, indicating that the NiO(16.0%)/SiO₂ catalyst had the best performance on N₂O decomposition under experimental conditions used with 16.0 (wt%) loadings of NiO on SiO₂ support.

The results of the specific surface area showed that for NiO(x%)/SiO₂ catalysts, as the increasing content of active component of NiO on support, the specific surface area of NiO(x%)/SiO₂ catalysts gradually decreased, indicating that for supported catalysts the variation of specific surface area occurring within a certain range, it did not significantly affect the activity of the catalysts



Fig. 2. XRD characterization results over NiO/SiO₂ samples with different NiO loadings.



Fig. 3. The variation of N_2O conversion with reaction temperature on the prepared NiO(x%)/SiO₂ catalysts.

on N₂O decomposition. XRD characterization results indicated that for the $NiO(x\%)/SiO_2$ catalysts when the amount of NiO loadings was relatively small, the active components were well dispersed on the support, which could fully use the catalytic function of the active components. At the same time, the amount of active component loadings would have a significant effect on the number of active centers. Taking into account the dispersion state of the active components on support and the number of active centers, the NiO(16.0%)/ SiO₂ catalyst showed the best performance on N₂O decomposition under experimental conditions. The experimental results showed that under the above experimental conditions, the conversion ratio of N₂O on NiO(16.0%)/SiO₂ catalyst reached 100% when the reaction temperature was about 550°C.

A series of NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃/SiO₂ catalysts were prepared based on the above experimental results. The designed contents (wt) of Mn₂O₂ supported on NiO(16.0%)/SiO, were 2.0%, 4.0%, 6.0%, and 8.0%, respectively, which was basically the same as the actual value through comparing with XRF results. In the following discussion, the loadings of active components in the series of catalysts were still based on the designed value for the reason of simplicity, including NiO(16.0%)-NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃(4.0%)/SiO₂, Mn₂O₃(2.0%)/SiO₂, NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃(6.0%)/SiO₂, NiO(16.0%)and $Mn_2O_3(8.0\%)/SiO_2$, in order to further investigate the possibility of improving the activity of supported NiO/ SiO₂ catalyst on N₂O decomposition. Sequentially, the specific surface areas of the prepared samples were 243 m²/g, 238 m²/g, 226 m²/g, and 219 m²/g, respectively, which indicated that the specific surface area of the prepared samples was slightly decreasing with the increase of Mn₂O₃ on NiO(16.0%)/SiO₂ under experimental prepared conditions, while compared with traditionally supported catalysts, the prepared samples still had relatively large specific surface area.

XRD spectra of the prepared series of samples of NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃(y%)/SiO₂ were shown in Fig. 4,

which showed that it did not obviously appear in the characteristic peaks belonging to Mn_2O_3 on the XRD spectrum when the loading of Mn_2O_3 was 2.0% and 4.0%, respectively, which was probably because the Mn_2O_3 crystalline structure did not clearly form when the loading of Mn_2O_3 was lower under the prepared experimental conditions used. It showed two characteristic diffraction peaks at approximately 33° and 35° at 20 when the loadings of Mn_2O_3 were 6.0% and 8.0%, respectively, which could be the diffraction peaks of Mn_2O_3 (222) and (123) crystal planes (JCPDF No. 78-0390), respectively [35,36].

In order to investigate the ability of reduction for the prepared NiO-Mn₂O₃@SiO₂ samples, H_2 -TPR performance performed on the prepared series of catalysts of NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₂(y%)/SiO₂ was tested, and results were shown in Fig. 5, respectively. The results showed that there were mainly two H₂-TPR hydrogen consumption peaks at approximately 300-470°C and 510-620°C for the catalysts of NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₂(y%)/SiO₂ with different loadings, respectively. The hydrogen consumption peak at a low temperature of 300-470°C could be attributed to the weak interaction between NiO and the support of SiO, in the free state of NiO, while the hydrogen consumption peak at a high temperature of 510-620°C could be attributed to the strong interaction between NiO and the support of SiO₂, respectively [37].

The peak area related to the hydrogen consumption at low-temperature (300-470°C) (A1) and high-temperature (510-620°C) (A2) were calculated, respectively, by using Origin peak integration (Table 1). It showed that the peak area of hydrogen consumption (A1) and (A2) both increased with the increasing content of Mn_2O_3 with the loading of Mn_2O_3 (wt%)≤6.0%, indicating that the ability to reduce for the catalysts increased with the increasing content of Mn_2O_3 . However, it showed that the peak area of hydrogen consumption (A1) and hydrogen consumption (A2) was actually decreased when the content of Mn_2O_3 (wt%) was higher than that of 6.0%,



Fig. 4. XRD characterization results over NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃/SiO₂ samples with different Mn₂O₃ loadings.

Samples	Relative peak area (a.u.)	
	A1(300~470°C)	A2(510~620°C)
NiO(16.0%)/SiO ₂	507.54	95.27
NiO(16.0%)-Mn ₂ O ₃ (2.0%)/SiO ₂	600.90	185.11
NiO(16.0%)-Mn ₂ O ₃ (4.0%)/SiO ₂	657.20	247.14
NiO(16.0%)-Mn ₂ O ₃ (6.0%)/SiO ₂	980.14	485.16
NiO(16.0%)-Mn ₂ O ₃ (8.0%)/SiO ₂	820.36	440.86

Table 1. The peak area of hydrogen consumption for H₂-TPR over NiO and NiO-Mn₂O₂@SiO₂ catalysts.

indicating that the ability to reduce for the catalyst was decreased with the further increasing the content of Mn_2O_3 when the content of Mn_2O_3 was higher than that of 6.0%. This implied that the sample of NiO(16.0%)- $Mn_2O_3(6.0\%)/SiO_2$ had the best ability of reduction for the prepared catalysts of NiO(16.0%)- $Mn_2O_3(y\%)/SiO_2$ under the experimental preparation conditions used. For N₂O decomposition catalysts, research results have shown that the stronger the ability to reduce, the higher the catalytic decomposition activity of N₂O under certain experimental conditions [19, 23].

Fig. 6 shows the experimental results of the N₂O conversion over the prepared catalysts of NiO(16.0%)- $Mn_2O_3(y\%)/SiO_2$ as the function of reaction temperature. The experimental conditions are listed below: gas composition (v/v) 14.6% N₂O+55.2% N₂+30.2% O₂, volume space velocity of 5000 h⁻¹, and system pressure of 0.1 MPa, respectively. It indicated that the catalytic activity performing on N₂O decomposition was improved to some degrees under experimental conditions for the supported catalysts of composite NiO-Mn₂O₃ metal oxides, NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃(y%)/SiO₂ under experimental condition. Rational design of bimetallic catalysts has progressed in recent studies owing to the understanding of synergy and strong mutual interaction between metal oxide species [38].

The theoretical analysis results of the relationship between the ionization potential (ev) and the ratio of effective nuclear charge/ion radius for the representative oxides of transition and alkali metals indicated that NiO and Mn₂O₂ belong to alkaline oxides and amphoteric metal oxides, respectively [39]. Further research showed that the catalytic performance of composite metal oxides prepared by doping modification of alkaline metal oxides with amphoteric metal oxides was better than that of single alkaline metal oxides or amphoteric metal oxides [40, 41]. Meanwhile, according to the mechanism of N₂O catalytic decomposition [42, 43], the desorption of O* on the active sites (*) from the catalyst surface would play an important role in the catalytic decomposition of N₂O, while the surface of Mn₂O₃ metal oxide could provide abundant of O active sites. Therefore, the catalysts of NiO-Mn₂O₂/SiO₂ prepared under experimental conditions would have better performance on N₂O decomposition than that of NiO/ SiO₂ catalysts, which was basically consistent with the experimental results (Fig. 6).

The experimental results in Fig. 6 also indicated that the activity of the prepared NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃(y%)/ SiO₂ catalyst performing on N₂O decomposition was increased with the increasing of Mn₂O₃ content when the content of Mn₂O₃ was lower than that of 6.0 (wt%), while



Fig. 5. H₂-TPR characterization results over NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃/SiO₂ samples with different Mn₂O₃ loadings.



Fig. 6. The variation of N₂O conversion with reaction temperature on the prepared NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃(y%)/SiO₂ catalysts.

the activity of the prepared NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃(y%)/ SiO₂ catalyst performing on N₂O decomposition was decreased with the increasing of Mn₂O₂ content when the content of Mn₂O₃ was higher than that of 6.0 (wt%), indicating that it existed an appropriate composition ratio for the synergistic catalytic function between the composite metal oxides of NiO-Mn₂O₃. Under the experimental preparation conditions, the catalyst prepared for NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃(6.0%)/SiO₂ showed the best activity in N₂O decomposition. At the reaction temperature of 525°C and other experimental conditions used, the conversion of N₂O over the catalyst of NiO(16.0%)-Mn2O3(6.0%)/SiO2 was 100%, which was consistent with the H_2 -TPR characterization results. Under the same experimental conditions used, the conversion of N₂O over the catalyst of NiO(16.0%)/SiO₂

reached 100% at the reaction temperature of 550°C. The stability test in the laboratory fixed bed reactor lasted for 100 hr under the following experimental conditions: gas composition (v/v) 14.6% N2O+55.2% N₂+30.2% O₂, volume space velocity of 5000 h⁻¹, system pressure of 0.1 MPa, and reaction temperature of 525°C. It showed that the NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃ (6.0%)/ SiO₂ catalyst had good stability for the N₂O catalytic decomposition, and the conversion ratio of N₂O was 100% under the experimental conditions used. Fig. 7 showed SEM characterization results of NiO(16.0%)- $Mn_2O_3(6.0\%)/SiO_2$ catalyst before and after the reaction lasted for 100 hours, which indicated that there was no significant variation regarding the surface morphology and particle size of the catalyst before and after the reaction, respectively, which also indicated that



Fig. 7. SEM characterization over samples before and after the reaction lasted for 100 hours.

the prepared sample had good stability for the N_2O catalytic decomposition and provided the basis for further relative research.

Conclusions

The activity results performed on NiO(x%)/ SiO₂ catalysts showed that under experimental conditions, when the content of NiO was 16.0 (wt%), NiO(16.0%)/SiO₂ had good performance over N₂O decomposition. Based on this basis, NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃(x%)/SiO₂ catalysts were prepared, respectively. H₂-TPR characterization results showed that when the content of Mn₂O₃ content was 6.0 (wt%), NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃(6.0%)/SiO₂, it exhibited the best reduction performance through H,-TPR. It showed that the prepared catalyst of NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃(6.0%)/SiO₂ had the best activity in N₂O decomposition among catalysts of NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃(x%)/SiO₂. At the reaction temperature of 525°C and other experimental conditions used, the conversion of N₂O reached 100% for the catalyst of NiO(16.0%)-Mn₂O₃(6.0%)/SiO₂, which was consistent with the H2-TPR characterization results, while under same experimental conditions used, the conversion of N₂O over the catalyst of NiO(16.0%)/ SiO₂ reached 100% at the reaction temperature of 550°C. The experimental results showed that the activity of the composite metal oxides of the NiO-Mn₂O₂/SiO₂ catalyst was superior to that of the single component of the NiO/ SiO₂ catalyst.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Science and Technology Research Projects of the Department of Education in Hebei Province for its generous financial support through the grant of CXY2023018.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- ZHANG Y.Y., GUO Y.Q., LI N., FENG Y.Y. Research status and progress on N₂O abatement and recycling technique N₂O. Environmental Protection of Chemical Industry. 38 (1), 499, 2018.
- 2. YUAN C.J. Nitrous Oxide: Laughing gas should not be laughed off. Huaxue Tongbao. **86** (2), 244, **2023**.
- LI A Q., WANG P., ZHANG L.D., ZHAO H.Y., JIN M.M., LEI L.L. Effect of different skeleton structures on N₂O decomposition from ammonia-fueled engines using Fe-based zeolite catalysts. Fuel. **378**, 132888, **2024**.
- 4. CHIPPERFIELD M.P., BEKKI S., DHOMSE S., HARRIS NEIL R.P., HASSLER B., HOSSAINI R.,

STEINBRECHT W., THIÉBLEMONT R., WEBER M. Detecting recovery of the stratospheric ozone layer. Nature. **549** (7671), 211, **2017**.

- ZHAO H.Y., WANG P., LI Z.L., AO C.C., ZHAO X.T., LIN H. Improved activity and significant O₂ resistance of Cs doped Co₃O₄ catalyst for N₂O decomposition. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering. 12 (5),113907, 2024.
- GONÇALVES C., NOGUEIRA M., OLIVEIRA A. Greenhouse gas (N₂O) emission from Portuguese estuaries. Conference: IMMR, International Meeting on Marine Research 2014, Peniche, Portugal. Frontiers in Marine Science. 2014.
- YANG F., MIAO Y.Q., YE A.Z., CUI Q., SUN Y.L., LUO H., HONG W.L., SUN F.H. Characterization of N₂O emission at the water-gas interface and influence of Lake Chaohu. Journal of Lake Science. 35 (6), 2000, 2023.
- HUANG Y.H., SHE D.L., SHI Z.Q., HU L., PAN Y.C. Effect of different soil salinities on N₂O emission: A metaanalysis. Environmental Science. 45 (4), 1, 2023.
- TANG H.B., LÜ X.H., LI F.S., ZHAO S.T. Characteristics of greenhouse gas emissions in global food system. Research of Environmental Sciences. 36 (11), 1, 2023.
- LIU J.X., WANG X.C., LI C.Q., WANG H., SONG Y.J. Research progresses of metal oxide catalysts for direct catalytic decomposition of nitrous oxide. Environmental Protection of Chemical Industry. 41 (3), 263, 2021.
- 11. JIA G.W., YANG N., WU X.H. The situation and suggestions for the control of non-carbon dioxide greenhouse gas emissions in China. Environmental Impact Assessment. **45** (3), 1, **2023**.
- ZHANG C.H., YAO X. Path analysis and development trend of greenhouse gas treatment in tail gas of adipic acid industrial production. Henan Chemical Industry. **39** (9), 12, **2022**.
- WANG C.X., LI Y.K., LIU Y.C. Effect of modified biochar on the removal of nitrate with low concentration and N₂O emission from water by a screened denitrifying bacterium. China Environmental Science. https://doi.org/10.19674/j. cnki.issn1000-6923.20230802.006. 2023 [In Chinese].
- WENG J.Y., XU R.Z., YANG J., CHEN L.L., FANG F. Current status and prospects of nitrogen oxides reduction and resource utilization in wastewater treatment systems. Applied Chemical Industry. 52 (9), 2637, 2023.
- 15. JIN D.X., LI S.F., PU R.J., HE Z.Y., JIANG G.Z., ZHANG F.J., LI G.Y., LU X.H., LI X.J., PU B.L. Method for recovering and purifying nitrous oxide from a gas mixture containing nitrous oxide. Chinese Patent 109476483A [P]. 2019 [In Chinese].
- MIAO M., ZHOU T., WANG T., LI C.R., ZHANG M., YANG H.R. Feasibility of using red mud as bed material for treating N₂O in fluidized bed combustion. Fuel. **379**, 133068, **2025**.
- LIU H., YANG S., MI J.X., SUN C.Z., CHEN J.J., LI J.H. 4d-2p-4f gradient orbital coupling enables tandem catalysis for simultaneous abatement of N₂O and CO on atomically dispersed Rh/CeO₂ catalyst. Environmental Science & Technology. 58 (38): 17125, 2024.
- ZHANG D.Z., ZHAO Z., ZHANG C.H., LIU Z.J., TIAN Y.F., ZHONG R.X., LI Z.G., LI X.Y., YAO X., SONG M.M., FENG X., ZHAO X.W., LIU W., ZHANG S.W., SU Y.H. A high-temperature decomposition device and method for industrial exhaust gas containing N₂O. Chinese Patent 114754363A [P]. 2022.
- 19. ZHAO F.L., ZENG J., XIAO R., QIU L., GUO W., CHEN H.H., CHANG H.Z. Selective catalytic reduction

of N₂O by CO over lanthanum doped Fe-beta zeolite. China Environmental Science. **43** (3), 1044, **2023**.

- MAUVEZIN M. Catalytic reduction of N₂O by NH₃ in presence of oxygen using Fe-exchanged zeolites. Catalysis Letters. 62 (1), 41, 1999.
- KAMEOKA S., SUZUKI T., YUZAKI K., TAKEDA T., TANAKA S. Selective catalytic reduction of N₂O with methane in the presence of excess oxygen over Fe-BEA zeolite. Chemical communications. 9, 745, 2000.
- 22. ZENG J., CHEN S.Y., FAN Z.H., WANG C., LI J. Simultaneous selective catalytic reduction of NO and N₂O by NH₃ over Fe-zeolite catalysts. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research. **59** (44), 19500. **2020**.
- SÁDOVSKÁ G., TABOR E., SAZAMA P., LHOTKA M., SOBALÍK Z. High temperature performance and stability of Fe-FER catalyst for N₂O decomposition. Catalysis Communications. 89, 133, 2017.
- ZHANG Y.Y., GUO Y.Q., LI N., FENG Y.Y. Catalytic decomposition of N₂O over supported RuO2/MexOy (Me=La, Mg, Al) catalysts. Industrial Catalysis. 26 (7), 43, 2018.
- CHATZIIONA V.K., CONSTANTINOU B.K., SAVVA P.G., OLYMPIOU G.G., KAPNISIS K., ANAYIOTOS A., COSTA C.N. Regulating the catalytic properties of Pt/Al₂O₃ through nanoscale inkjet printing. Catalysis Communications. **103**, 69, **2018**.
- 26. ZHANG Y.Y., GUO Y.Q., LI N., FENG Y.Y. The preparation of hydrotalcite-like composite metal oxides and investigation on N₂O catalytic decomposition. Environmental Pollution & Control. 41 (5), 510, 2019.
- 27. CAMPA M.C., PIETROGIACOMI D., TUTI S.C., LEA R., OCCHIUZZI M. N₂O decomposition on CoO_x, CuO_x, FeO_x or MnO_x supported on ZrO₂: The effect of zirconia doping with sulfates or K⁺ on catalytic activity. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental. **187** (15), 218, **2016**.
- YU H.B. WANG X.P. Apparent activation energies and reaction rates of N₂O decomposition via different routes over Co₃O₄. Catalysis Communications. 106, 40, 2018.
- SUN J.R., XIA L., LI J.Y., LI S.X., LIU X.G., WANG H., LI C.Q., SONG Y.J. Effect of crystallization temperature on catalytic performance of Co₃O₄ catalysts in decomposition of N₂O. Modern Chemical Industry. **39** (4), 89, **2019**.
- JIA R., DONG W.Y., ZHANG L., YU H.B., JIANG X., ZHANG H.Z., LI S.J., QU M.H. Catalytic decomposition of N₂O over Co₃O₄/KIT-6 catalyst. Journal of Molecular Science. **39** (2), 154, **2023**.
- WANG Y.F., WANG Y.T., WANG X.C., MA X.F., LI C.Q., WANG H., SONG Y.J. Study on catalytic decomposition of N₂O by SiO₂ aerogel supported transition metal oxides. Modern Chemical Industry. 42 (9), 134, 2022.

- 32. CUI Y., LIN Y.B. Preparation and properties study on magnetic nanosilicon dioxide. Journal of Changchun University of Science and Technology (Natural Science Edition). 39 (6), 53, 2016.
- ZHOU H., LV B.L., XU Y., WU D. Synthesis and electrochemical properties of NiO nanospindles. Materials Research Bulletin. 50, 399, 2014.
- 34. YANG P., WEI X.W., WANG J., YANG F., ZHENG X.Y. Research on oxidation mechanism and fabrication of nickel oxide nanowires via high temperature oxidation. Journal of Xihua University (Natural Science). 34 (3), 70, 2015.
- XIA H.Y., HU L., YAO Q.Z. Preparation and photocatalytic activity of Mn₂O₃ microsphers. Journal of Inorganic Materials. 26 (3), 317, 2011.
- 36. LIN Y.L., WU F., XIE Z.J., GAO B., TANG M., LU Y.N., WANG Y.C., ZHANG S., LIU Y.H., LIU Y.H. High Temperature crystallization synthesis Mn₂O₃@MoS₂ composite materials and their adsorption properties for Uranium. Journal of Nuclear and Radiochemistry. 44 (4), 457, 2022.
- ZHAO D., TAN J.S., JI Q.Q., ZHANG J.T., ZHAO X.S., GUO P.Z. Mn₂O₃ nanomaterials: Facile synthesis and electrochemical properties. Chinese Journal of Inorganic Chemistry. 26 (5), 832, 2010.
- 38. LIU L.J., LIU B., NAKAGAWA Y., LIU S.B., WANG L., YABUSHITA M., TOMISHIGE K. Recent progress on bimetallic catalysts for the production of fuels and chemicals from biomass and plastics by hydrodeoxygenation. Chinese Journal of Catalysis. 62 (7), 1, 2024.
- ZHANG Y.Y. Catalytic decomposition of greenhouse gas N₂O – Investigation on preparation and performance of composite metal oxide catalysts [D]. East China University of Science and Technology. 2000.
- 40. HUANG Q.X., WANG F., LIU Y., ZHANG B.Y., GUO F.Y., JIA Z.Q., WANG H., YANG T.X., WU H.T., REN F.Z., YI F.F. Recent progress of two-dimensional metalbase catalysts in urea oxidation reaction. Rare Metals. 43 (8):3607, 2024.
- 41. VASAVAN H.N., BADOLE M., SAXENA S., SRIHARI V., DAS A.K., GAMI P., DAGAR N., DESWAL S., KUMAR P., POSWAL H.K., KUMAR S. Identification of optimal composition with superior electrochemical properties along the zero Mn³⁺ line in Na_{0.75}(Mn-Al-Ni)O₂ pseudo ternary system. Journal of Energy Chemistry. 96 (9), 206, 2024.
- LIU Z., ZHOU Z., HE F., CHEN B., ZHAO Y., XU Q. Catalytic decomposition of N₂O over NiO-CeO₂ mixed oxide catalyst. Catalyst Today. 293-294, 56, 2017.
- 43. FRANKEN T., PALKOVITS R. Investigation of potassium doped mixed spinels Cu_xCo_{3,x}O₄ as catalysts for an efficient N₂O decomposition in real reaction conditions. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental. **176-177**, 298, **2015**.