*Original Research*

# **How Chinese Power Companies Can Effectively Utilize Organizational Resources for a Green and Low-Carbon Transition through Digitalization under the "Dual Carbon" Strategy**

**Siyu Li<sup>1</sup> , Hongjun Zhao2\***

1 Sichuan Energy Investment Wind Power Development Co., Ltd., No. 716, South Section of Jiannan Avenue, Hi-Tech Zone, Chengdu, Sichuan, China 2 School of Electronics and Information, MianYang Polytechnic, No. 32, Section 1, Xianren Road, Youxian District, Mianyang City, Sichuan Province, China

> *Received: 31 May 2024 Accepted: 24 July 2024*

## **Abstract**

The digitalization and green transformation of Chinese power companies are crucial for achieving sustainable development. However, the deep-level impact mechanisms of digitalization on low-carbon transition remain underexplored, especially under the "Dual Carbon" strategy. This study constructs a theoretical model based on the Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) and Resource Orchestration theories. Using survey data from 371 managers, we employ Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) and Fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis (fsQCA) to examine the driving factors and pathways of digitalization's impact on low-carbon transition. The research findings indicate that: (1) Seven categories of resources significantly impact the green and low-carbon transition; (2) Further analysis reveals that the application degree of green low-carbon technologies, relative advantage, green low-carbon transition strategy, and top leadership support serve as mediating resources in digitalization promoting the green low-carbon transition of power companies, with top leadership support being the critical element; (3) Power companies can successfully achieve a green low-carbon transition through three "internal resource-driven" pathways, whereas the lack of policy support is the most critical external factor leading to the failure of the green transition. The findings enhance theoretical research and offer practical insights for policymakers and the power industry on low-carbon transition strategies.

**Keywords:** digitalization, low-carbon transition, influencing factors, pathways, power companies

<sup>\*</sup>e-mail: zhaozhiheng2001@163.com

## **Introduction**

Due to the increasing frequency of extreme climate and environmental issues caused by greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide  $(CO<sub>2</sub>)$ , countries worldwide are becoming more focused on controlling  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions and achieving sustainable development [1]. In 2020, global carbon dioxide emissions reached 32.29 billion tons, with energy consumption for power generation and heating accounting for 43% of the total emissions [2]. The power industry, as the largest source of carbon emissions [3], is required to take on greater responsibility for emission reductions [4]. Countries are actively promoting the low-carbon transition of the power industry to mitigate the greenhouse effect, and China is no exception. With rapid economic development, the demand for energy, particularly electricity, is continuously increasing across various industries in China. In 2020, China's total  $\rm CO_{2}$  emissions were 9.805 billion tons, with 39.6% originating from power generation [5]. As the world's largest producer of electricity and emitter of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , China's power industry faces more stringent carbon reduction requirements [6].

To address the significant challenges of carbon emission reduction, the Chinese government proposed strategic goals of achieving "peak carbon" by 2030 and "carbon neutrality" by 2060 in 2020. Against this backdrop, the power industry is also facing unprecedented pressure for emission reductions and transformation [7]. On the one hand, to respond to the dual carbon strategy, the government has issued a series of policies and regulations to provide institutional guarantees for the green and low-carbon development of the power industry. For example, the impact of the carbon emission trading pilot policy on green innovation in the power industry[8]. On the other hand, the deepening of power market reforms has intensified market competition, forcing traditional power enterprises to accelerate transformation and upgrading to enhance competitiveness. For example, continuous advancements in renewable energy technology and cost reductions have gradually increased the share of clean energy such as wind and solar power in the electricity market [8]. However, due to China's resource endowment of being "rich in coal, poor in oil and gas," the relative maturity of coal-fired power technology, and the limitations of other energy generation [9], it is difficult for the power industry to move away from coal resources in the short term [10]. Meanwhile, the continuous increase in energy demand, particularly for electricity, driven by economic development exacerbates this contradiction [11]. Therefore, traditional power production methods can no longer meet the requirements of the dual carbon strategy, and power enterprises urgently need to achieve sustainable development through technological innovation and changes in management models.

Fortunately, with the continuous emergence of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, digitalization has brought profound changes to various countries and industries

worldwide [12]. The increasing contribution of the digital economy to green and low-carbon development [13], has provided an opportunity to address this issue. For example, digital transformation and digital finance have been widely proven to positively impact corporate green innovation, promoting high-quality green development [14, 15]. The power industry is no exception. Digital transformation is considered an effective means to drive changes in production and operations, energy conservation, and the achievement of green and lowcarbon transformation. Previous studies have shown that the introduction of digital technology can optimize production and operational activities, management models, and operational mechanisms, thereby enhancing productivity [16]. This is particularly important for energy-intensive and highly polluting industries like the power sector. Wang et al. (2023), through analyzing data from Chinese listed companies, found that digital transformation significantly enhances the green total factor productivity (GTFP) of enterprises, particularly in traditional industries like the energy sector [17]. Digital transformation has provided new opportunities for power enterprises to reduce carbon emissions, achieve green and low-carbon transformation, and pursue sustainable development.

However, despite previous research providing valuable insights into the green and low-carbon transition of the power industry, there are two main limitations: First, previous studies have mainly focused on regional or industrial levels, neglecting the "bottomup" investigation of influencing factors and mechanisms of energy-saving and carbon reduction at the microlevel of power enterprises. For example, research has primarily focused on calculating and predicting carbon emissions, identifying influencing factors, carbon emission performance, and carbon emission efficiency at various scales [18-20], or analyzing the impact of carbon reduction technologies on the power industry [21]. Second, there is still a lack of research on the impact mechanisms and logical relationships of green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises in the context of digitalization. Especially, the effects of the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises are influenced by the complex interaction of various internal and external factors, necessitating further clarification of the deep mechanisms of digitalization on internal organizational resources.

Therefore, this study, based on the "dual carbon" strategy, aims to explore the impact of digitalization levels on the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises, thereby clarifying its internal mechanisms. Furthermore, based on TOE theory and resource orchestration theory, this study reveals the impact of internal organizational resources on green and lowcarbon transition, thus uncovering the "black box" of how Chinese power enterprises effectively utilize organizational resources through digitalization to achieve green and low-carbon transition.

## **Theoretical Framework and Research Hypotheses**

#### Theoretical Framework

To reveal how digitalization achieves the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises through internal resources, this study employs the Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) framework to identify internal and external resource elements of the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises. The TOE framework is a theoretical model that analyzes the influencing factors in the adoption and implementation of new technologies by enterprises, divided into three dimensions: technology, organization, and environment [12]. It has been widely applied in studies on technology-induced organizational change [22]. The digital transformation of power enterprises, as a technological forerunner, orchestrates green and low-carbon resources through the diffusion of green technology innovations, driving green transformation. Therefore, it is necessary to comprehensively evaluate the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises in the context of digital transformation by integrating resource orchestration theory. The resource orchestration theory is an advancement of the resource-based view (RBV) [23]. Compared to RBV, resource orchestration theory emphasizes that the effective configuration, combination, and utilization of resources and capabilities are essential for gaining sustained competitive advantage [24]. Since the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises involves complex internal and external factors and their dynamic interactions, merely identifying resource elements is insufficient to reveal the transition mechanisms. Integrating the TOE theory and resource orchestration theory provides an effective analytical framework to uncover how power enterprises utilize organizational resources through digital transformation to achieve a green and low-carbon transition.

Against the backdrop of green and digitalization becoming significant features of economic and social development [25], this study selects the application degree of green and low-carbon technology (AGT) and relative advantage (RA) in the technology dimension, transformation cost (TC), employee engagement (EE), organizational preparedness (OP), green and lowcarbon transformation strategy (GTS), and senior leadership support (SSL) in the organization dimension, and competitive pressure (CP) and policy support (PS) in the environment dimension through the TOE framework, considering digital transformation as an important influencing factor. Based on this, this study constructs a research model for the impact mechanisms and implementation paths of the green and low-carbon transition of Chinese power enterprises, as shown in Fig. 1.

#### Research Hypotheses

## *Analysis of the Impact of Digitalization*

Digital transformation is the product of the mutual promotion of information technology and business innovation, exhibiting significant technological and economic resonance effects [26]. The digitalization



Fig. 1. Research model of the mechanisms for achieving green and low-carbon transition in power companies based on digital transformation.

level of power enterprises refers to the degree of application in information technology, data analysis, and automation. Previous studies have shown that the level of digitalization can influence the strategic implementation of enterprises in various dimensions, such as technology and organization, through the diffusion effect of technological innovation [12], particularly in terms of green and low-carbon transformation. Due to its green attributes, digitalization can promote green and lowcarbon development through digital empowerment, which has received widespread attention [27-29]. The green and low-carbon transformation of power enterprises is usually influenced by low-carbon technology [30], and internal and external organizational environments [31]. For example, Shang et al. (2023) found that the level of digital transformation in listed companies significantly reduces carbon emission intensity by enhancing technological innovation, internal control, and environmental information disclosure capabilities [32]. This study measures the degree of digital transformation of power enterprises by their level of digitalization. Based on the above analysis, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H1: The digitalization level of power enterprises positively impacts their green and low-carbon transformation.

# Impact of Technological Resources on Green and Low-Carbon Transition

Technological resources are the foundation for driving the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises. Low-carbon technology, as a key tool for achieving green development, is crucial for power enterprises in reducing emissions [31]. Power enterprises can significantly reduce reliance on fossil fuels, decrease greenhouse gas emissions, and achieve changes in energy structure and operating models by introducing low-carbon technologies such as carbon capture and storage, new fuel power generation, and smart grids [33]. The extent of green and low-carbon technology applications reflects the willingness of enterprises to adopt and practice energy-saving and carbon reduction technologies. Relative advantage is particularly important in the green and low-carbon transition. Enterprises gain comprehensive benefits from the green and low-carbon transition, such as improved cost efficiency, operational effectiveness, market competitiveness, and reduced environmental impact, bringing economic and social responsibility benefits and shaping corporate brand image. Moreover, the digitalization level of power enterprises can enhance the innovation and utilization efficiency of technological resources. For example, Zhang et al. (2023) found that digitalization actively promotes innovation in energy storage technology [34]. Digitalization also guides enterprises to prioritize cost-effective green technologies by improving the accuracy of green technology investment decisions. Data-driven investment decisions

not only optimize the allocation of technological resources but also enhance the relative advantage of enterprises in the green and low-carbon transition, accelerating the transformation process. Based on the above analysis, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H2a/H2b: The level of digitalization positively affects the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises by promoting the application of green and low-carbon technologies and enhancing relative advantage.

# Impact of Organizational Resources on Green and Low-Carbon Transition

The green and low-carbon transition involves multiple organizational resource elements, including transition costs, employee engagement, organizational preparedness, transition strategy, and top leadership support. First, the costs of green and low-carbon transition encompass technological upgrades, infrastructure modifications, personnel training, and operational costs. These significant investments may hinder short-term financial performance and adoption willingness [33]. Digitalization, by optimizing energy management and operational processes, can reduce transition costs, improve production efficiency, and decrease labor demand, thus promoting green technology innovation [17]. Second, employees are crucial for driving corporate transformation. Active employee engagement not only enhances the acceptance and implementation of green strategies but also effectively promotes green innovation and environmental management practices by increasing environmental awareness [35]. Digital technologies, such as internal social media, online training systems, and data visualization tools, can enhance employee engagement [36]. Furthermore, good organizational preparedness and a clear green and low-carbon transition strategy are essential guarantees for a successful transition. Organizational preparedness provides foundational support for technology-driven corporate transformation, ensuring a smooth transition [12], while a clear transition strategy offers a defined development direction and specific action guidelines, ensuring effective resource allocation and utilization. Studies have shown that an increased level of digitalization aids in the formulation and implementation of green and low-carbon transition strategies, enhancing the ability of enterprises to address carbon reduction [37].

Finally, support from top leadership is a critical factor in achieving green and low-carbon transition goals. Top leadership support ensures the smooth formulation and execution of transition strategies, providing necessary direction and motivation, promoting the effective allocation of key resources, including technology, capital, and personnel, and shaping a positive corporate culture that motivates employee participation [38]. In this process, digitalization significantly reduces uncertainty and risk during the transition by optimizing decisionmaking processes, enhancing internal communication

and collaboration, and increasing the transparency and traceability of strategy execution [39, 40]. Based on the above analysis, the following hypotheses are proposed:

H3a/H3b/H3c/H3d/H3e: Digitalization positively impacts the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises by reducing transition costs, enhancing employee engagement, improving organizational preparedness, strengthening transition strategies, and promoting top leadership support.

# Impact of Environmental Resources on Green and Low-Carbon Transition

The green and low-carbon transition is significantly influenced by external environmental resources, including competitive pressure and policy support. Competitive pressure is a significant external driver of the green and low-carbon transition for power enterprises. The green and low-carbon transition in the power industry exerts a coercive isomorphism effect on enterprises, forcing them to follow suit to maintain competitiveness. Simultaneously, the increasing market demand for clean energy and low-carbon products directly drives enterprises to adjust their business models to meet this trend [38]. The application of green and low-carbon technologies helps improve energy efficiency and reduce operational costs, providing a competitive advantage. Additionally, enterprises mitigate compliance risks through low-carbon transition and enhance public image through proactive environmental measures [38]. Moreover, policy support plays a crucial role in promoting the green and lowcarbon transition of power enterprises. Governments reduce the economic barriers and technical obstacles to corporate transition by formulating and implementing environmental regulations, economic incentives, and technical support policies, providing clear directions and goals for enterprises [41]. Since investing in low-carbon technologies increases corporate costs, governments must create market drivers through carbon trading systems and renewable energy quotas. Economic incentives and environmental standards enhance the attractiveness of low-carbon technology investments for enterprises, driving the green and lowcarbon transition [33]. Based on the above analysis, the following hypotheses are proposed:

H4a/H4b: Competitive pressure and policy support positively impact the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises.

#### **Research Methods and Data**

# Questionnaire Design

Questionnaire surveys, known for their ease of implementation and scientific validity, have been widely used in studies on organizational innovation induced by digitalization [42], and the power industry

is no exception. This study employs a structured questionnaire method to quantify the influencing factors of digital transformation on the green and low-carbon transition of power companies. To ensure the relevance and validity of the research variables and measurement items within the context of this study, we based our questionnaire on established scales from related fields [12], modifying them appropriately to fit the specific context of the green and low-carbon transition in power companies. We also sought advice from industry experts and scholars to adjust and refine the questionnaire items. To ensure the scientific rigor and reliability of the questionnaire, a pre-test was conducted to refine and adjust the measurement items, resulting in the final questionnaire used in this study.

The questionnaire consists of two parts: the first part collects basic information about the respondents, such as gender, age, educational background, work experience, type of employer, and nature of the organization. The second part uses quantitative items to measure the core concepts of the research model across the three dimensions of Technology-Organization-Environment, comprising a total of 42 measurement items. A fivepoint Likert scale (1="strongly disagree," 5="strongly agree") was used to assess respondents' attitudes and perceptions. To enhance participation and data authenticity, the questionnaire was designed to be anonymous to protect participants' privacy. Before completing the questionnaire, respondents from the relevant industry were first provided with a definition of the green and low-carbon transition in power companies and were informed that the survey results would be used solely for this academic research.

#### Data Collection

To ensure the scientific validity and reliability of the questionnaire data on factors influencing the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises, a detailed online survey method was employed. Firstly, the "Wenjuanxing" platform was used to design and distribute the questionnaire. The platform's effectiveness in data collection has been widely applied in academic research. To enhance the specificity and relevance of the questionnaire data, the survey link was distributed to QQ and WeChat groups and academic forums related to the power industry. Experienced professionals and experts were also invited to participate. Participants came from various regions and different scales of upstream and downstream enterprises in the power industry, including management, technical, and operational personnel, ensuring the sample's breadth and representativeness. Before completing the questionnaire, participants were introduced to the concept of the green and lowcarbon transition in power enterprises and assured of the confidentiality of their responses, with results used solely for academic research.

To ensure the validity and authenticity of the data, three specific questionnaire screening criteria were established. First, questionnaires with excessively short completion times were excluded to ensure respondents had sufficient time to answer thoughtfully. For example, based on the number of items, questionnaires completed in less than one minute were considered insufficiently thoughtful. Second, common sense questions were included to identify and exclude potentially careless respondents who answered these questions incorrectly. Finally, questionnaires with a high repetition rate of answers were excluded to avoid mechanical answering and to ensure analyzable results. Through these screening steps, a total of 371 valid questionnaires were obtained, with an efficiency rate of 80.3%. This sample size meets the minimum sample size requirement of being greater than ten times the number of items in the PLS-SEM model [43], ensuring the reliability of data analysis.

Lastly, the basic characteristics of the respondents are detailed in Table 1, where the gender ratio is balanced, with male and female respondents each constituting around 50%. In terms of educational background, respondents with a bachelor's degree or below accounted for over 80%, while those with a master's degree or above were less than 20%. This phenomenon may reflect the importance of practical experience over academic education in the power industry. Additionally, the age of respondents was mainly concentrated in the 25-30 range, with nearly 60% having less than five years of work experience, indicating a relatively young workforce in power enterprises and high engagement of new employees in the green and low-carbon transition.

Since the data in this study were collected through a questionnaire survey, there is a potential risk of common method bias (CMB) affecting the relationship between independent and dependent variables due to the singularity of the data collection process and tools [44]. To address this issue, we employed the widely used Harman's single-factor test to detect the presence of CMB in the data[44]. The results showed that the largest single factor accounted for only 38.96% of the total variance, which is below the 40% threshold [45].

## Analytical Methods

To comprehensively explore the mechanisms by which digitalization affects the green and lowcarbon transition of Chinese power enterprises, this study employs both PLS-SEM and fsQCA for two reasons. Firstly, PLS-SEM requires lower distribution and quantity requirements of data and can reveal causal relationships between variables based on small sample sizes by constructing linear combinations of latent variables. It is particularly suitable for complex models composed of multiple constructs [46]. Thus, this method helps in effectively identifying the deepseated impact mechanisms of digitalization on the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises based on questionnaire data, specifically the "net effect" of individual influencing factors. Additionally, it reveals the mediating effects of organizational resource elements, ensuring the reliability and validity of the estimated results [47].

Secondly, fsQCA, a set-theoretic method, identifies necessary and sufficient conditions, providing indepth logical reasoning and qualitative comparative analysis. It analyzes the impact of various combinations

<b>Basic Information</b>	Category Frequency		Percentage $(\% )$	
Gender	Male	207	55.80%	
	Female	164	44.20%	
	Associate degree or below	116	31.27%	
<b>Education Level</b>	Bachelor's degree	194	52.29%	
	Master's degree	47 14 61 204 68 38 135 89 77 43 27	12.67%	
	Doctoral degree		$3.77\%$	
	$<$ 25 years		16.44%	
Age	25-35 years		54.99%	
	36-45 years		18.33%	
	>45 years		10.24%	
Work Experience	<3 years		36.39%	
	3-5 years		23.99%	
	$6-10$ years		20.75%	
	$11-15$ years		11.59%	
	$>15$ years		7.28%	

Table 1. Basic characteristics of survey respondents.

of factors on the outcome variable, revealing the "configuration effects" in complex causal relationships [48]. This is particularly suitable for exploring the successful pathways and failure reasons of different condition combinations in the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises [49]. Through fsQCA analysis, the effective pathways for power enterprises to achieve a green and low-carbon transition under different environmental and resource conditions can be more precisely identified, further deepening the understanding of the internal mechanisms by which digitalization drives corporate green transitions. Therefore, combining these two methods allows for a quantitative analysis through PLS-SEM to understand the independent and joint effects of various factors and a qualitative comparison through fsQCA to identify effective pathways and key conditions under different scenarios, thereby increasing the depth and breadth of the study.

## **Results**

#### PLS-SEM Analysis

#### *Measurement Model*

The evaluation of the measurement model is a critical first step in assessing the quality of the PLS-SEM research model. This study evaluates the model quality from three aspects: reliability, convergent validity, and discriminant validity. First, regarding reliability, Cronbach's α values and composite reliability (CR) values of all measurement variables in this study are greater than 0.7, meeting the required standards [50]. This indicates that the selected measurement variables in this study have good reliability. Second, for convergent validity, the average variance extracted (AVE) is used as the primary assessment metric. The AVE values for all measurement variables in this study exceed the minimum threshold of 0.5 [50], indicating that all variables in the model possess good convergent validity. Lastly, concerning discriminant validity, all variables in this study largely meet the required standards. The evaluation of the measurement model for each variable is shown in Table 2, enabling the subsequent structural model analysis.

#### *Structural Model*

This study evaluates the structural model of PLS-SEM using three key indicators: the coefficient of determination (R²), cross-validated redundancy  $(Q<sup>2</sup>)$ , and effect size  $(f<sup>2</sup>)$  [51]. First, the coefficient of determination  $(R^2)$  represents the amount of variance in the endogenous constructs explained by the exogenous constructs, serving as an indicator of the model's explanatory power. In this study, the  $R<sup>2</sup>$  value for Green and Low-Carbon Transition (GLT) is 0.737, and the adjusted R² value is 0.729, which exceeds the standard of approximately 0.670 that Chin considers indicative of high explanatory power [51]. This suggests that the model has strong explanatory power for GLT in power companies. Second, the  $Q^2$  value of the structural



Table 2. Reliability and validity indices.



model should be greater than  $0$ , with higher  $Q<sup>2</sup>$  values indicating greater predictive accuracy of the model. All  $Q<sup>2</sup>$  values in this study are greater than 0, meeting the requirements [50], indicating that the model has good predictive relevance. Finally, the effect size  $(f<sup>2</sup>)$  is used to determine the magnitude of the impact of each path in the model [46]. The effect sizes of Digitalization Level (DL) on AGT, EE, GTS, OP, RA, and SSL are all greater than 0.35, representing high effects, while the effects on GLT and TC are low. Additionally, the impact of all independent variables on GLT is of low effect size, as shown in Table 3. The path coefficient diagram of the research model is illustrated in Fig. 2.

Using the Smart-PLS software, this study estimated the significance levels of the paths through the Bootstrapping method with 5000 resamples[43]. As shown in Table 4, first, DL (Digitalization Level) has a significant positive effect on AGT (Application of Green Technology), RA (Relative Advantage), SSL (Support from Senior Leadership), GTS (Green Transition Strategy), GIT (Green Innovation Technology), OP (Organizational Preparedness), and EE (Employee Engagement) at the 0.01 level. It also has a significant positive effect on GLT (Green and Low-Carbon Transition) and TC (Transformation Cost) at the 0.05 level. Second, based on the TOE framework, except for EE, OP, and CP (Competitive Pressure), which do not have a significant effect on GLT at the 0.05 level, the remaining influencing factors all significantly impact GLT. Among them, TC has a significant negative effect on GLT. Finally, regarding the mediation effects shown in Table 5, DL does not have a significant impact on GLT through EE, OP, and TC. However, internal organizational factors such as AGT, RA, GTS, and SSL partially mediate the significant positive

Construct					Effect Size f <sup>2</sup>				$Q^2$	R <sub>2</sub>	$\mathbb{R}^2$ Adjusted
AGT	$\rm EE$	<b>GLT</b>	<b>GTS</b>	<b>OP</b>	<b>RA</b>	<b>SSI</b>	TC				
AGT			0.024						0.363	0.589	0.588
CP			0.001								
DL	1.433	0.614	0.021	1.002	1.095	1.248	1.263	0.019			
EE			0.004						0.241	0.381	0.379
<b>GLT</b>									0.382	0.737	0.729
<b>GTS</b>			0.018						0.271	0.500	0.499
<b>OP</b>			0.002						0.300	0.523	0.521
<b>PS</b>			0.017								
RA			0.018						0.305	0.555	0.554
SSI			0.044						0.364	0.558	0.557
TC			0.016						0.009	0.018	0.016

Table 3. Structural model representation effect size f<sup>2</sup>, predictive relevance, and R square.



Fig. 2. Path coefficient diagram of the research model.

effect of DL on GLT, with the mediation effect of SSL being the strongest. This indicates that the digitalization level of enterprises plays a crucial role in the support of senior leadership for the green and low-carbon transition.

#### fsQCA Analysis

## *Data Calibration*

Data calibration is a critical initial step in the fsQCA method, aiming to transform raw quantitative data into fuzzy set membership scores to fit the qualitative comparative logic of this approach. Before conducting fsQCA analysis, each case is assigned a value between 0 and 1, representing the degree of membership in a specific set, typically categorized as "full membership," "crossover point," and "full non-membership" [52]. To ensure the scientific validity and applicability of data calibration, this study considers the specific research context and the actual distribution characteristics of the sample data. The direct calibration method is employed, using the 95th, 50th, and 5th percentiles as anchor points, as commonly used in previous studies [53], to distinguish the degree of membership among samples. Finally, the "calibrate" function in the fsQCA software is utilized to calibrate all raw data.

Relationship	Path Coefficients	<b>T</b> Statistics	P Values	Result
$AGT \rightarrow GIT$	0.164	2.708	0.007	Significant
$DT \rightarrow AGT$	0.767	28.378	0.000	Significant
$RA \rightarrow GIT$	0.128	2.524	0.012	Significant
$DT \rightarrow RA$	0.745	27.786	0.000	Significant
$TC \rightarrow GIT$	$-0.066$	2.05	0.040	Significant
$DT \rightarrow TC$	0.135	2.241	0.025	Significant
$EE \rightarrow GIT$	0.048	1.117	0.264	Not significant
$DT \rightarrow EE$	0.617	15.016	0.000	Supported
$OP \rightarrow GIT$	0.042	0.741	0.459	Not significant
$DT \rightarrow OP$	0.723	22.737	0.000	Significant
$GTS \rightarrow GIT$	0.113	2.437	0.015	Significant
$DT \rightarrow GTS$	0.707	23.63	0.000	Significant
$SSI \rightarrow GIT$	0.216	3.172	0.002	Significant

Table 4. Impact effect results.

Table 5. Hypothesis testing results.

Hypotheses	Relationship	Path Coefficients	T Statistics	P Values	Result
H1	$DT \rightarrow GIT$	0.137	2.608	0.009	Supported
H2a	$DT \rightarrow AGT \rightarrow GIT$	0.126	2.733	0.006	Supported
H3a	$DT \rightarrow TC \rightarrow GIT$	$-0.009$	1.376	0.169	Not Supported
H3b	$DT \rightarrow EE \rightarrow GIT$	0.029	1.094	0.274	Not Supported
H3c	$DT \rightarrow OP \rightarrow GIT$	0.030	0.737	0.461	Not Supported
H3d	$DT \rightarrow GTS \rightarrow GIT$	0.080	2.437	0.015	Supported
H3e	$DT \rightarrow SSI \rightarrow GIT$	0.161	3.122	0.002	Supported
H4a	$CP \rightarrow GIT$	0.023	0.515	0.606	Not Supported
H <sub>4</sub> b	$PS \rightarrow GIT$	0.123	2.413	0.016	Supported

## Necessity Analysis

In fsQCA, the necessity analysis of antecedent conditions influencing the green and low-carbon transition of power companies is a prerequisite for configurational analysis, used to examine whether a particular condition is a necessary prerequisite for the outcome [54]. First, the necessity analysis calculates the coverage and consistency ratios of conditions. Coverage indicates the proportion of instances where the outcome occurs when the condition is present, while consistency measures the extent to which the outcome occurs whenever the condition is present [52]. As shown in Table 6, under the two configurations of the green and low-carbon transition in power companies, the consistency levels of all individual antecedent conditions are below 0.9, indicating that no single condition sufficiently explains

the green and low-carbon transition[53], and none are necessary conditions. This suggests that the green and low-carbon transition of power companies is influenced by the synergistic effects of various conditions, necessitating further configurational analysis.

## Configurational Results

After data calibration and necessity analysis, configurational analysis is the core of fsQCA, revealing the complex interactions between variables by identifying different combinations of conditions (i.e., "configurations") that lead to the outcome. Unlike seeking a single optimal solution, configurational analysis emphasizes the existence of multiple possible causal paths. Each configuration is defined by a specific combination of conditions, and each combination

Conditions		Successfully achieving GLT	Failed to achieve GLT		
	Consistency	Coverage	Consistency	Coverage	
AGT	0.792	0.856	0.520	0.456	
$~\sim$ AGT	0.496	0.561	0.835	0.765	
RA	0.778	0.858	0.505	0.452	
$\neg RA$	0.503	0.557	0.842	0.755	
<b>TC</b>	0.667	0.741	0.611	0.550	
$\sim T C$	0.595	0.654	0.712	0.634	
$\rm{EE}$	0.802	0.820	0.567	0.470	
$\sim$ EE	0.481	0.578	0.782	0.762	
<b>OP</b>	0.766	0.851	0.516	0.464	
$\sim$ OP	0.517	0.569	0.834	0.743	
<b>GTS</b>	0.825	0.821	0.559	0.451	
$\sim$ GTS	0.448	0.556	0.778	0.783	
<b>SSL</b>	0.804	0.855	0.525	0.453	
$\sim$ SSL	0.485	0.558	0.832	0.775	
${\cal CP}$	0.803	0.822	0.550	0.457	
$\mathord{\sim} CP$	0.470	0.563	0.786	0.763	
$\mathbf{PS}$	0.782	0.861	0.532	0.474	
$\sim$ PS	0.523	0.579	0.844	0.758	
$\mathop{\rm DL}\nolimits$	0.848	0.841	0.555	0.446	
$\sim\!\!DL$	0.441	0.551	0.801	0.810	

Table 6. Necessity analysis of single conditions.

logically constitutes a sufficient condition to explain the outcome [55].

First, this study uses the truth table algorithm to identify and simplify the key causal configurations leading to the successful green and low-carbon transition of power companies. This process iteratively simplifies the data to form the simplest expression of condition combinations, collectively constituting multiple possible paths to achieve the specific outcome. To ensure the reliability and interpretability of the combinations, this study sets the frequency threshold for successful green and low-carbon transition cases at 5 and for unsuccessful cases at 6, with a consistency threshold of 0.8, based on previous research findings [55]. By simplifying the logical expressions, the final configurational results of this study are obtained, and predictions are made based on the intermediate and parsimonious solutions.

This study identifies three paths for both successful and unsuccessful green and low-carbon transitions (as shown in Table 7), with overall solution consistencies of 0.981 and 0.970, respectively. The consistency of each combination within the two configurations exceeds 0.9, indicating strong explanatory power for each combination. Additionally, the overall solution

coverage for the two configurations is 0.486 and 0.487, respectively, meaning nearly half of the outcomes can be explained by these combinations. For the successful green and low-carbon transition of power companies, besides DL (Digitalization Level), internal organizational factors such as AGT (Application of Green Technology), RA (Relative Advantage), EE (Employee Engagement), GTS (Green Transition Strategy), and SSL (Support from Senior Leadership) are core conditions, which is consistent with the PLS-SEM results. For the unsuccessful green and lowcarbon transition configurations, the absence of AGT, RA, EE, GTS, and SSL as core conditions, along with the lack of PS (Policy Support), are significant factors, corroborating the importance of internal organizational factors in both configurations.

To further test the robustness of the configurational results, a stability test was conducted by adjusting the consistency threshold from 0.8 to 0.85. Comparative analysis of the results before and after the adjustment shows consistent findings, indicating the high reliability of the study's results.

Antecedent condition	Successfully achieving GLT			Failed to achieve GLT		
	S1	S2	S <sub>3</sub>	F1	F2	F3
AGT		$\bullet$		$\otimes$	$\otimes$	$\otimes$
RA	$\bullet$	$\bullet$		$\otimes$	$\otimes$	$\otimes$
TC	$\bullet$	$\bullet$			$\otimes$	$\otimes$
$\rm{EE}$	$\bullet$	$\bullet$	$\bullet$	$\otimes$		$\otimes$
<b>OP</b>	٠		$\bullet$	$\otimes$	$\otimes$	$\otimes$
<b>GTS</b>		$\bullet$		$\otimes$	$\otimes$	$\otimes$
<b>SSL</b>		$\bullet$		$\otimes$	$\otimes$	$\otimes$
CP	$\bullet$	$\bullet$	$\bullet$	$\otimes$	$\otimes$	
<b>PS</b>		$\bullet$	٠	$\otimes$	$\otimes$	$\otimes$
DL		$\bullet$		$\otimes$	$\otimes$	$\otimes$
Raw coverage	0.369	0.381	0.449	0.507	0.451	0.446
Unique coverage	0.013	0.025	0.093	0.077	0.021	0.015
Consistency	0.979	0.984	0.987	0.975	0.967	0.967
Overall Solution Coverage		0.486		0.487		
Overall Solution Consistency		0.981		0.970		

Table 7. Green and low-carbon transformation configuration results.

Note: $\bullet =$  core condition present.  $\bullet =$  peripheral condition present.  $\otimes =$  core condition absent.  $\otimes =$  peripheral condition absent. Blank spaces = condition may be either present or absent.

## **Discussion**

## Main Findings

The results indicate that, first, the digitalization level of power enterprises positively impacts the implementation of green and low-carbon transitions (H1). As shown in Table 4, the digitalization level significantly increases the application of green and low-carbon technologies and further enhances the relative advantage of green and low-carbon transitions in power enterprises, with T-values of 28.378 and 27.786, respectively, ranking first and second among all significant influencing resource elements. This indicates that green and low-carbon technologies and relative advantages play key roles in the implementation of lowcarbon transitions in enterprises [26, 37]. Furthermore, as shown in Table 5, the digitalization level positively impacts the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises by enhancing the application of green and low-carbon technologies and relative advantage, indicating partial mediation of these factors in the digitalization process (H2a and H2b). This demonstrates that the impact of digitalization on the green and lowcarbon transition of enterprises primarily operates through the promotion of low-carbon technologies and the enhancement of low-carbon advantages.

Previous literature also supports this conclusion, as the role of digitalization in promoting low-carbon transitions has been demonstrated in the manufacturing industry [37]. For example, Hou et al. (2023) found that the digital transformation of the manufacturing industry significantly positively impacts low-carbon technology innovation, serving as a critical driving force for such innovation[26], and the same applies to power enterprises. Particularly in the current context of sustainable and high-quality development, the power industry urgently needs digitalization to drive green and low-carbon transitions.

Subsequently, in the organizational resource dimension, as shown in Tables 4 and 5, although transformation costs have a statistically significant negative impact on the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises, the hypothesis that digitalization positively affects this transition by reducing costs (H3a) is not supported. Additionally, the digitalization level of enterprises significantly increases green transition costs, which contradicts the theoretical analysis suggesting that digital transformation can reduce these costs. This indicates that, under current conditions, despite efforts by governments and enterprises to promote digital transformation, the green and low-carbon transition remains a financial burden for enterprises, especially in low-carbon technologies [33]. Digitalization has yet to be deeply embedded in production and operations to realize cost efficiencies. Moreover, employee engagement and organizational preparedness do not significantly impact the low-carbon transition of power enterprises and do

not mediate the effect of digitalization on this transition; thus, H3b and H3c are not supported. Chen et al. (2024) found that digital transformation positively impacts the carbon performance of industrial enterprises and that talent mediates the relationship between digital transformation and carbon performance, affecting carbon reduction outcomes [56]. Therefore, compared to general employee engagement, low-carbon talent with specific skills and awareness may play a more crucial role in the low-carbon transition of power enterprises. The insignificance of organizational preparedness may be due to the disruptive nature of green and low-carbon transitions on existing organizational structures, which forces changes in established behavioral patterns, thus creating potential obstacles and reducing the positive impact of organizational preparedness on the transition. This phenomenon has also been observed in the digital transformation of construction enterprises [12]. Regarding green and low-carbon transition strategies and top leadership support, H3d and H3e are both supported. Notably, H3e has the highest T-value of 3.122 among all ten hypotheses. This indicates that top leadership support plays the most critical role in digitalization, promoting the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises. Sheng et al. (2021) also found that the ambivalence of CEOs can weaken the impact of digital transformation on the low-carbon operational management practices of enterprises [39].

Finally, in the external environmental resources dimension, competitive pressure does not significantly impact the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises, and H4a is not supported. However, policy support has a significant positive impact on the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises, supporting H4b. The possible reason is that China's power enterprises are government-affiliated with low levels of market competition. Compared to market regulation, government macro-guidance and policy directives are the key drivers for the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises [57]. The government can guide or encourage relevant low-carbon practices in enterprises through various policies in the power and carbon markets, such as peak-valley electricity pricing, carbon emission trading rules, and carbon emission quota allocation rules [33].

Based on the above analysis, it can be found that although the PLS-SEM method reveals the impact of different resource elements on the green and lowcarbon transition, particularly the mediating effects of digitalization in promoting the green and lowcarbon transition of power enterprises, it still does not clearly answer how digital transformation interacts through these different resource elements to achieve the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises. Therefore, it is necessary to discuss the green and lowcarbon transition from the perspective of configuration matching based on resource orchestration theory. The configuration results indicate that three paths (S1, S2, and S3) can successfully achieve the green and lowcarbon transition of power enterprises, all driven by internal resources (Table 7). Specifically, in addition to the application of green and low-carbon technologies, relative advantage, green and low-carbon transition strategy, top leadership support, and digitalization level, employee engagement is also a core condition in all three paths. This indicates that although employee engagement does not significantly impact the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises or mediate the relationship between digitalization and the green and low-carbon transition, it remains a core condition from the configuration perspective.

Furthermore, this suggests that under the joint interaction of internal and external resource elements, employees, as the fundamental human resources of enterprise productivity, their level of engagement in the low-carbon transition remains an indispensable resource element for achieving a green transition. The degree of employee engagement reflects their sense of corporate identity and value regarding green and low-carbon transition efforts, thereby affecting the implementation of various green and low-carbon transition tasks. Previous studies have also indicated this situation [56]. Secondly, in the three paths that successfully achieve the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises, the resource elements of transformation costs, organizational preparedness, competitive pressure, and policy support are marginal or potentially absent. This indicates that internal resource elements are particularly important for a successful green and low-carbon transition, with the application of low-carbon technologies and top leadership support often being key [58].

In the three paths where the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises was not successfully achieved (F1, F2, and F3), it can be seen that besides the absence of core internal resource elements, the lack of policy support is a key factor leading to the failure of the green and low-carbon transition. Since current green and low-carbon transitions are more about fulfilling corporate social responsibility and responding to calls for action, it is difficult to bring economic benefits to enterprises in the short term [59]. Especially for stateowned power enterprises, without policy support, it is challenging for enterprises to have the motivation and willingness to proactively engage in green and lowcarbon transitions [31], which is consistent with the previous conclusions from the PLS-SEM analysis. Therefore, the successful green and low-carbon transition through digitalization often depends on internal leadership support and the deep application of technology. Without policy support and encouragement, the green and low-carbon transition is unlikely to be achieved.

#### Research Implications

The research findings provide crucial insights for government policy-making. The government should enhance the promotion and support of digital technologies in the power industry, formulating policies to encourage investment and application of digital technologies. Measures may include financial subsidies, tax incentives, and low-interest loans to reduce digital transformation costs. Additionally, improving digital infrastructure, especially in remote and resourcescarce areas, ensures balanced development of digital transformation among power enterprises. Strengthened supervision and guidance should ensure the application of digital technologies aligns with national green and low-carbon development goals and environmental standards. The government should improve and optimize carbon market mechanisms by formulating flexible and effective carbon trading rules and quota allocation systems, encouraging carbon reduction through technological innovation, enhancing the economic benefits and sustainability of the green and low-carbon transition, and ensuring equitable benefits from digital transformation.

Industry stakeholders, particularly top leaders, should recognize the importance of digital transformation in achieving green and low-carbon development and actively implement digital strategies with the necessary resources. Enterprises should invest in low-carbon technology innovation, introducing advanced digital technologies to enhance their application and relative advantage, thus boosting market competitiveness. Employees, as key resources for the green and lowcarbon transition, should receive training and incentives to enhance their low-carbon awareness and skills, boosting participation and creativity. Additionally, power enterprises should collaborate with the government, academia, and non-governmental organizations to advance green and low-carbon technology research and application. Participating in government green policy and industry standard formulation allows enterprises to gain policy support and establish a green development benchmark. Finally, investors should focus on and invest in enterprises with strong digitalization and green and low-carbon transition prospects for long-term returns.

## **Conclusions**

With digitalization and greening becoming mainstream, traditional power enterprises urgently need to transition to green and low-carbon practices to address the escalating climate crisis and achieve sustainable, high-quality development. This study first identified ten internal and external organizational resource elements that might affect the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises based on the TOE framework. Combined with resource orchestration theory, a research model for the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises driven by digital transformation was constructed. Next, PLS-SEM was employed to analyze nine types of green and low-carbon resources, revealing that seven significantly impact the green and low-carbon transition. Further analysis revealed that four internal

organizational resource elements—green and lowcarbon technology application and relative advantage in the technical resource dimension, and green and lowcarbon transition strategy and top leadership support in the organizational resource dimension—mediate the effect of digitalization on the green and low-carbon transition of power enterprises. Among these, top leadership support is the key resource element. Finally, using fsQCA to orchestrate ten types of green and lowcarbon resources, it was found that power enterprises can achieve green and low-carbon transitions through three "internal resource-driven" paths. The failed green and low-carbon transition paths revealed that the lack of policy support often leads to transition failure. In the Chinese context, external policy support and assistance are crucial for the success of green and low-carbon transitions. This addresses the challenge of how power enterprises can leverage green and low-carbon resources to achieve low-carbon transitions in a digitalization context.

This study has some limitations that need to be addressed in future research. Firstly, the PLS-SEM and fsQCA methods selected in this study are limited by the data sourced from questionnaires, which may be subject to respondent bias and may not fully reflect the actual green and low-carbon practices of enterprises. Future research could consider using panel data from publicly listed companies or statistical yearbooks to enhance the comprehensiveness and reliability of the study. Additionally, while this study used the TOE framework and resource orchestration theory, future studies could incorporate theories from other fields for broader insights. Finally, due to the differences in economic development levels, energy structures, and environmental regulations across regions, future research could explore transformation paths from a regional differences perspective.

## **Acknowledgments**

This work was financially supported by the Project Supported by Scientific Reserch Fund of SiChuan Vocational and techenical Education Center (GZY23B11).

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## **References**

- 1. CHENG X., LONG R.Y., CHEN H., LI Q.W. Coupling coordination degree and spatial dynamic evolution of a regional green competitiveness system – A case study from China. Ecological Indicators, **104**, 489, **2019**.
- 2. CHI Y.Y., ZHOU W.B., TANG S.L., HU Y. Driving Factors of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  Emissions in China's Power Industry:

Relative Importance Analysis Based on Spatial Durbin Model. Energies, **15** (7), 15, **2022**.

- 3. SHAIKH M.A., KUCUKVAR M., ONAT N.C., KIRKIL G. A framework for water and carbon footprint analysis of national electricity production scenarios. Energy, **139**, 406, **2017**.
- 4. TANG L.X., CHE P. Generation Scheduling Under a CO<sub>2</sub> Emission Reduction Policy in the Deregulated Market. Ieee Transactions on Engineering Management, **60** (2), 386, **2013**.
- 5. JIANG P., KHISHGEE S., ALIMUJIANG A., DONG H.J. Cost-effective approaches for reducing carbon and air pollution emissions in the power industry in China. Journal of Environmental Management, **264**, 12, **2020**.
- 6. LI W., ZHANG Y.W., LU C. The impact on electric power industry under the implementation of national carbon trading market in China: A dynamic CGE analysis. Journal of Cleaner Production, **200**, 511, **2018**.
- 7. XIE P.J., SUN B.L., LIU L., XIE Y.W., YANG F., ZHANG R. China's power industry's carbon emission intensity in the context of carbon peaking and carbon neutrality: measurement and regional difference. International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management, **15** (2), 264, **2023**.
- 8. XIN-GANG Z., WENJIE L., WEI W., SHURAN H. The impact of carbon emission trading on green innovation of China's power industry. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, **99**, 18, **2023**.
- 9. MA X.J., WANG Y., WANG C. Low-carbon development of China's thermal power industry based on an international comparison: Review, analysis and forecast. Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews, **80**, 942, **2017**.
- 10. WANG W.J., TANG Q., GAO B. Exploration of CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction pathways: identification of influencing factors of  $CO_2$  emission and  $CO_2$  emission reduction potential of power industry. Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy, **25** (5), 1589, **2023**.
- 11. XIE P.J., YANG F., MU Z.W., GAO S.S. Influencing factors of the decoupling relationship between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ emission and economic development in China's power industry. Energy, **209**, 12, **2020**.
- 12. ZHANG G.Q., WANG T., WANG Y.H., ZHANG S., LIN W.H., DOU Z.X., DU H.T. Study on the Influencing Factors of Digital Transformation of Construction Enterprises from the Perspective of Dual Effects-A Hybrid Approach Based on PLS-SEM and fsQCA. Sustainability, **15** (7), 22, **2023**.
- 13. HU J. Synergistic effect of pollution reduction and carbon emission mitigation in the digital economy. Journal of Environmental Management, **337**, **2023**.
- 14. HAN Y., LI Z., FENG T., QIU S., HU J., YADAV K.K., OBAIDULLAH A.J. Unraveling the impact of digital transformation on green innovation through microdata and machine learning. Journal of Environmental Management, **354**, **2024**.
- 15. LI M., HU J., LIU P., CHEN J. How can digital finance boost enterprises' high-quality development?: evidence from China. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, **30** (38), 88876, **2023**.
- 16. ZHANG L., MU R., ZHAN Y., YU J., LIU L., YU Y., ZHANG J. Digital economy, energy efficiency, and carbon emissions: Evidence from provincial panel data in China. Science of the Total Environment, **852**, 158403, **2022**.
- 17. WANG J.L., LIU Y., WANG W.L., WU H.T. How does digital transformation drive green total factor productivity?

Evidence from Chinese listed enterprises. Journal of Cleaner Production, **406**, 15, **2023**.

- 18. LIANG H., ZENG Y.Y., JIANG X.C., LI Y. Dynamic evaluation of low-carbon development in China's power industry and the impact of carbon market policies. Heliyon, **9** (2), 19, **2023**.
- 19. SUN W., MENG M., HE Y.J., CHANG H.  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  Emissions from China's Power Industry: Scenarios and Policies for 13th Five-Year Plan. Energies, **9** (10), 16, **2016**.
- 20. WANG B. Low-carbon transformation planning of China's power energy system under the goal of carbon neutrality. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, **30** (15), 44367, **2023**.
- 21. WANG C., ENGELS A., WANG Z.H. Overview of research on China's transition to low-carbon development: The role of cities, technologies, industries and the energy system. Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews, **81**, 1350, **2018**.
- 22. FERNANDO Y., ROZUAR N.H.M., MERGERESA F. The blockchain-enabled technology and carbon performance: Insights from early adopters. Technology in Society, **64**, 10, **2021**.
- 23. SIRMON D.G., HITT M.A., IRELAND R.D. Managing firm resources in dynamic environments to create value: Looking inside the black box. Academy of Management Review, **32** (1), 273, **2007**.
- 24. SIRMON D.G., HITT M.A., IRELAND R.D., GILBERT B.A. Resource Orchestration to Create Competitive Advantage: Breadth, Depth, and Life Cycle Effects. Journal of Management, **37** (5), 1390, **2011**.
- 25. JIANG Z.Y., ZHANG X.Y., ZHAO Y.Z., LI C.M., WANG Z.Y. The impact of urban digital transformation on resource sustainability: Evidence from a quasi-natural experiment in China. Resources Policy, **85**, 13, **2023**.
- 26. HOU J., BAI W.T., ZHANG S.D., YANG X.M. Original Research How Does Digital Transformation Promote Low-Carbon Technology Innovation? The Case of Chinese Manufacturing Companies. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, **32** (4), 3145, **2023**.
- 27. DING C.J., CHEN H., LIU Y., HU J., HU M., CHEN D., IRFAN M. Unleashing digital empowerment: Pioneering low-carbon development through the broadband China strategy. Energy, **295**, 131034, **2024**.
- 28. TAN L., YANG Z., IRFAN M., DING C.J., HU M., HU J. Toward low‐carbon sustainable development: Exploring the impact of digital economy development and industrial restructuring. Business Strategy and the Environment, **33** (3), 2159, **2024**.
- 29. HU J., ZHANG H., IRFAN M. How does digital infrastructure construction affect low-carbon development? A multidimensional interpretation of evidence from China. Journal of Cleaner Production, **396**, **2023**.
- 30. TAO Y., WEN Z.G., XU L.N., ZHANG X., TAN Q.L., LI H.F., EVANS S. Technology options: Can Chinese power industry reach the CO<sub>2</sub> emission peak before 2030? Resources Conservation and Recycling, **147**, 85, **2019**.
- 31. CUI H.R., ZHAO T., WU R.R.  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions from China's power industry: Policy implications from both macro and micro perspectives. Journal of Cleaner Production, **200**, 746, **2018**.
- 32. SHANG Y.P., RAZA S.A., HUO Z., SHAHZAD U., ZHAO X. Does enterprise digital transformation contribute to the carbon emission reduction? Micro-level evidence from China. International Review of Economics & Finance, **86**, 1, **2023**.
- 33. CHEN W., MA Y.K., BAI C.G. The Impact of Carbon Emission Quota Allocation Regulations on the Investment of Low-Carbon Technology in Electric Power Industry Under Peak-Valley Price Policy. Ieee Transactions on Engineering Management, 18, **2021**.
- 34. ZHANG H.Y., GAO S.Z., ZHOU P. Role of digitalization in energy storage technological innovation: Evidence from China. Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews, **171**, 13, **2023**.
- 35. FAWEHINMI O., YUSLIZA M.Y., TANVEER M.I., ABDULLAHI M.S. Influence of green human resource management on employee green behavior: The sequential mediating effect of perceived behavioral control and attitude toward corporate environmental policy. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, **31** (3), 2514, **2024**.
- 36. SHAIK A.S., ALSHIBANI S., JAIN G., GUPTA B., MEHROTRA A. Artificial intelligence (AI)-driven strategic business model innovations in small- and medium-sized enterprises. Insights on technological and strategic enablers for carbon neutral businesses. Business Strategy and the Environment, **33** (4), 2731, **2024**.
- 37. YANG G.Q., NIE Y.M., LI H.G., WANG H.S. Digital transformation and low-carbon technology innovation in manufacturing firms: The mediating role of dynamic capabilities. International Journal of Production Economics, **263**, 15, **2023**.
- 38. JIANG Y.G., ASANTE D., ZHANG J., CAO M.J. The effects of environmental factors on low-carbon innovation strategy: A study of the executive environmental leadership in China. Journal of Cleaner Production, **266**, 12, **2020**.
- 39. SHENG H.Y., FENG T.W., LIU L. The influence of digital transformation on low-carbon operations management practices and performance: does CEO ambivalence matter? International Journal of Production Research, **61** (18), 6215, **2023**.
- 40. ZHANG Y.H., LIU X.Y., ZHANG X.Y. How responsible leadership shapes followers' low-carbon behavior: A dualmediation model. Frontiers in Psychology, **13**, 15, **2023**.
- 41. CHEN S., WANG Y.D., DU H.P., CUI Z.Y. The current situation, development aims and policy recommendation of China's electric power industry. International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management, 18, **2023**.
- 42. JOVI M., TIJAN E., VIDMAR D., PUCIHAR A. Factors of Digital Transformation in the Maritime Transport Sector. Sustainability, **14** (15), 18, **2022**.
- 43. CHIN W.W. The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling. Modern methods for business research, **295** (2), 295, **1998**.
- 44. PODSAKOFF P.M., MACKENZIE S.B., LEE J.- Y., PODSAKOFF N.P. Common method biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. Journal of applied psychology, **88** (5), 879, **2003**.
- 45. WONG L.W., LEONG L.Y., HEW J.J., TAN G.W.H., OOI K.B. Time to seize the digital evolution: Adoption of blockchain in operations and supply chain management among Malaysian SMEs. International Journal of Information Management, **52**, 19, **2020**.
- 46. HAIR J., HOLLINGSWORTH C.L., RANDOLPH A.B., CHONG A.Y.L. An updated and expanded assessment of PLS-SEM in information systems research. Industrial Management & Data Systems, **117** (3), 442, **2017**.
- 47. DASH G., PAUL J. CB-SEM vs PLS-SEM methods for research in social sciences and technology forecasting. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, **173**, 11, **2021**.
- 48. FISS P.C. Building better causal theories: A fuzzy set approach to typologies in organization research. Academy of Management Journal, **54** (2), 393, **2011**.
- 49. WOODSIDE A.G. Moving beyond multiple regression analysis to algorithms: Calling for adoption of a paradigm shift from symmetric to asymmetric thinking in data analysis and crafting theory. Journal of Business Research, **66** (4), 463, **2013**.
- 50. HAIR J.F., RINGLE C.M., SARSTEDT M. PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. Journal of Marketing theory and Practice, **19** (2), 139, **2011**.
- 51. HAIR JR J.F., SARSTEDT M., HOPKINS L., KUPPELWIESER V.G. Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM): An emerging tool in business research. European Business Review, **26** (2), 106, **2014**.
- 52. CHEN H.S., TIAN Z. Environmental uncertainty, resource orchestration and digital transformation: A fuzzy-set QCA approach. Journal of Business Research, **139**, 184, **2022**.
- 53. MISANGYI V.F., GRECKHAMER T., FURNARI S., FISS P.C., CRILLY D., AGUILERA R. Embracing Causal Complexity: The Emergence of a Neo-Configurational Perspective. Journal of Management, **43** (1), 255, **2017**.
- 54. GRECKHAMER T., FURNARI S., FISS P.C., AGUILERA R.V. Studying configurations with qualitative comparative analysis: Best practices in strategy and organization research. Strategic Organization, **16** (4), 482, **2018**.
- 55. PAPPAS I.O., WOODSIDE A.G. Fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis (fsQCA): Guidelines for research practice in Information Systems and marketing. International Journal of Information Management, **58**, 23, **2021**.
- 56. CHEN Q.L., GONG Z.Y., WU J.F., WANG T.C. Does digital transformation affect carbon performance through talent? The moderating role of employee structure. Journal of Cleaner Production, **435**, 11, **2024**.
- 57. ZHANG M.M., TANG Y.M., LIU L.Y., ZHOU D.Q. Optimal investment portfolio strategies for power enterprises under multi-policy scenarios of renewable energy. Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews, **154**, 12, **2022**.
- 58. LI C.Y., ZHANG Y.B., PRATAP S., ZHOU L., LIU B.Q., ZHOU G.L. Regulation Effect of Smart Grid on Green Transformation of Electric Power Enterprises: Based on the Investigation of "Leader" Trap. Frontiers in Energy Research, **9**, 17, **2022**.
- 59. QI S.Z., ZHOU C.B., LI K., TANG S.Y. Influence of a pilot carbon trading policy on enterprises' low-carbon innovation in China. Climate Policy, **21** (3), 318, **2021**.