

Original Research

Urban Rail Transit, Industrial Structure Advancement, and Green Economic Growth – Evidence from Chinese Metro-Opening Cities

Shanlang Lin¹, Chuangye Yu¹, Yunbin Shen^{2*}¹School of Economics and Management, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China²School of Business, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China*Received: 13 March 2024**Accepted: 27 May 2024*

Abstract

Research on green economic growth is gradually expanding into the transportation sector, but fewer studies have examined the relationship between the development of urban transportation infrastructure, such as subway systems, and green economic growth in cities. Based on the panel data of 41 metro-opening cities in China from 2007 to 2019, this paper attempts to answer whether and how the development of metro systems affects green economic growth in Chinese cities. The empirical study found that: (1) Metro system development significantly contributes to green economic growth at the city level. Each additional 100 Chinese miles (50 km) of metro system development can lead to a 2.2% increase in the green economic growth of the city. (2) In the robustness test, four methods are used to show that the empirical results are robust and reliable. (3) Heterogeneity analysis shows that the impact of metro system development on green growth varies according to the degree of connectivity of the metro system, the level of green economic growth, and the level of productive service industry agglomeration. (4) Mechanism analysis shows that the development of the metro promotes green economic growth through the advanced industrial structure, which has a significant partial mediating effect.

Keywords: urban rail transit, green economic growth, green total factor productivity, advanced industrial structure

Introduction

With the development of urbanization, populations are becoming increasingly concentrated in cities, with an estimated 68% of the population living in cities by 2050 [1]. Economic activity and innovation are also

increasingly concentrated in cities, which are developing as centers of economy, transport, trade, and information flow. The growth of cities, economic prosperity, and rising incomes have led residents to demand easier commuting. Isard, an American scholar, pointed out that “of all the creative innovations in economic life, transport has had the most pervasive impact in promoting economic activity and changing the layout of industries” [2]. Therefore, in many central cities and metropolitan areas in the world, important transport

*e-mail: yunbinshen@126.com

et al. demonstrated that the number of metro stops in Shanghai and the proximity of the metro stations have a significant positive correlation with the price of the surrounding residences [9]. In addition to this, metro development has wider social benefits, such as changing the density of jobs, which affects the productivity and efficiency of the labor market. Foreign literature shows wider economic impacts of the metro system in Istanbul, especially in terms of business investment and sectoral changes. Some parts of the city metro system started to transform its transportation hub areas from industrial and manufacturing-related businesses to service-related businesses, and the clustering of financial and business services created agglomeration economies [10]. Metro operations contribute to the reduction of air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions, such as automobile mode shift, reduction of energy consumption, substitution of roadway operations [11], use of clean energy sources, alleviation of traffic congestion, improvement of air quality [12, 13], increase in residential density, and subsequent energy savings in station areas. The development of the metro changes travel behaviors, such as reducing bus, cab, and car trips [14] and commuting trips by walking, biking, and transit [15]. In fact, the metro is a lasting driving force for the economic development of the entire city, which is closely linked to production, circulation, and people's daily lives, keeping the city's functions functioning properly, and is the key to enhancing the city's comprehensive competitiveness and realizing green economic growth. Given the rapid development and large-scale construction of China's metro system, it is necessary and valuable to understand the relationship between metro system development and urban green economic growth in order to realize green and sustainable urban development in the context of the current goal of carbon peaking and carbon neutrality.

Today's China faces the challenge of green growth in social, economic, and ecological terms [16]. How to realize green economic growth has become an important issue for the entire society. The United Nations Environment Programme believes that a green economy is characterized by low carbon, resource efficiency, and social inclusiveness. In a green economy, income and employment growth should be promoted through public and private investment, energy and resource efficiency should be enhanced by reducing carbon emissions and environmental pollution, and the loss of biodiversity and ecosystem services should be prevented. Green economic growth implies both environmental and productive efficiency [17]. Also, it can be realized through appropriate industrial restructuring, reduction of production costs, and environmentally friendly business strategies. The related literature usually adopts the concept of green total factor productivity (GTFP) to represent green economic growth. GTFP additionally considers non-desired outputs, such as pollution emissions, on the basis of the traditional total factor productivity framework. Therefore, GTFP is a good indicator of green growth and provides a more

comprehensive measure of productivity growth. Scholars from the fields of economics and environmental sciences have attempted to identify the main factors of resource market distortion [18], environmental regulation [19], fiscal decentralization [20], financial development [21], and market openness [22] to explain China's green economic growth, while few scholars have explained the green economic growth of Chinese cities in terms of transportation infrastructure, especially the subway system that connects intra-city population movement and commuting. Several recent studies in the literature have found that the construction of subways has positively contributed to the environmental productivity of cities. This is mainly due to the expansion of urban boundaries and the limitation of sulfide emission levels due to the opening of subways, and the impact of subway opening on urban productivity is more prominent in the central and western regions from a regional point of view. In addition, the opening of the metro can improve urban green total factor productivity in two ways. On the one hand, the metro can improve total factor productivity by improving air quality, attracting R&D personnel and FDI, increasing government expenditure on science, and promoting innovation. On the other hand, the opening of the metro can promote the transformation and upgrading of urban industries, reduce industrial emissions, wastewater, and waste, and ultimately realize the improvement of green total factor productivity. However, the above literature is based on the data from prefecture-level cities across the country to compare and analyze the conclusions drawn from the cities where the metro opened during the sample period with those that have not yet opened, ignoring the fact that the development of the metro system requires corresponding conditions. The Opinions of the General Office of the State Council on Further Strengthening the Management of Planning, Construction, and Management of Urban Railway Transportation [23], released in 2018, updates the conditions to the following: a general public finance budget revenue of more than 30 billion yuan, gross regional product of more than 300 billion yuan, urban resident population of more than 3 million people, and the initial passenger intensity of the proposed metro line is not less than 0.7 million passengers per kilometer per day, and the scale of the long-range passenger flow reaches more than 30,000 passengers per hour in one-way peak hour. Cities that meet these basic conditions to develop a subway construction plan go through layers of approval, including the State Council for approval to carry out subway construction.

Therefore, this paper focuses on the cities where the metro system has been developed and operated and analyzes whether the development of the metro system can promote green economic growth of the city in the process of transformation and upgrading of green growth of these cities. If it exists, what is the conduction path of this impact? This paper enriches the existing literature in the following two aspects: firstly, when Xiao calculates green total factor productivity, the non-expected output

institutions and enterprises, the agglomeration of human capital, and the inflow of FDI resulting from the opening of the metro are important mechanisms explaining its improvement in the level of urban innovation. Several recent studies have expanded to explore the impact of metro development on green economic growth, and the results of the literature suggest that metro opening can increase urban green total factor productivity through mechanisms such as transportation cost reduction and industrial structure upgrading. In addition, according to Lin et al. [33], green technological innovation and industrial structure upgrading are the channels for achieving green economic growth. While the impact of metro system development on economic growth is seen from an international perspective, the impact of the Istanbul metro is mainly realized in terms of business agglomeration, enterprise development, and investment growth in metro neighborhood projects. This literature provides ideas and methods for this paper to study the impact of the metro on green economic growth.

Research on green economic growth mainly focuses on its influencing factors, as well as on indicator measurements. The definition of green economic growth and the corresponding indicators and calculation methods are explained in detail in the introduction, and in this section, we mainly explain the real meaning of green economic growth and the spatial and temporal differences. Studies on green economic growth in the literature often take energy conservation and emission reduction as the focus of analysis, because energy conservation and emission reduction are important for China to promote the transformation of the traditional high-energy consumption and high-pollution economic growth mode. Improving energy-environmental performance aims to reduce energy inputs and pollutant emissions while balancing economic outputs, and is therefore crucial for promoting green economic growth transformation [34]. Although the analytical frameworks are different, the starting point of the above literature is the measurement of energy-environmental performance. Green Total Factor Productivity (GTFP) incorporates "energy consumption" and "environmental pollution" into the framework of economic growth analysis, emphasizes the green development concept of coordinated development of economy-resources-environment, and is an improvement of traditional TFP. It emphasizes the green development concept of coordinated development of economy-resource-environment, which is an improvement of the traditional total factor productivity. Therefore, green total factor productivity, which takes into account energy inputs and environmental pollution, has become a new indicator for measuring the quality of economic development. Enhancing green total factor productivity implies a win-win situation for both economic and environmental performance, and is a new impetus to change the mode of economic development under resource and environmental constraints. Measuring green total factor productivity at the city level in China is conducive to

providing a factual basis for the transformation of China's economy from high-speed growth to high-quality development from the city dimension. The construction and operation of urban subways in most Chinese cities in the past decade have effectively reduced pollutant emissions and air pollution, so it is of great significance and practical value to study the impact of urban rail transportation on green total factor productivity. In terms of sample selection for green total factor productivity measurement, existing studies are mainly carried out at the inter-provincial level or industrial level, while there are relatively few studies based on city-level data. For example, Yang et al. measured and analyzed the inter-provincial green development efficiency from 1999 to 2012 using the inter-period production frontier SBM model [35], which showed that the green development efficiency at the national level "declined first and then increased" and that the green efficiency of the East and the Midwest began to show a polarized pattern after 2006. The study shows that the green development efficiency at the national level "declines first and then rises" and that the green efficiency of the east and the central and western parts of the country began to polarize after 2006. Based on the SBM model and the Luenberger productivity index, Yue et al. measured the green total factor productivity (GTFP) of 36 industrial industries in China from 2006 to 2015 [36] and found that the average annual growth rate of industrial GTFP during the sample period was 0.356%, and technological innovation was the main driving factor. Therefore, this paper draws on the methodology of related studies to analyze the green total factor productivity of cities that open and operate subways from a city perspective.

In summary, this paper provides a more comprehensive account of the relationship between the development of urban metro systems and green economic growth in the face of insufficient research in related fields. Drawing on a number of preliminary research findings, existing databases, and improved econometric methods, the findings in this paper provide new perspectives for academics and policymakers.

Theoretical Analysis and Research Hypothesis

New economic geography has an important theoretical guiding role in the study of urban rail transit, urban green economic growth, and industrial spatial layout. Urban rail transit promotes urban green economic growth in the following aspects: First, it promotes industrial agglomeration along the subway. The construction of urban rail transit has a significant positive impact on the location of enterprises and the agglomeration of economic activities. Inside the city, with the construction and operation of the subway, the stations around the rail transit will attract a large number of service industries to develop and agglomerate. The reason is that the subway can

the SBM-GML model, with input factors including capital (K), labor (L), and energy (E), desired outputs measured by GDP, and non-desired outputs including soot (D), sulfur dioxide (S) and wastewater (W). In this paper, the input and output variables and data descriptions are shown in Table 1, and the average green total factor productivity and its decomposition of 41 metro-opening cities in 2007-2019 are calculated and collated, and the results are retained to four decimal places as shown in Table 2, from which it can be seen that there is spatial and temporal variability in the green total factor productivity of metro-opening cities. The green total factor productivity of most cities is gradually improving, but there are obvious regional development differences in the degree of development of green total factor productivity in the cross-section comparison of cities, and this difference has a tendency to continue to expand.

Key Explanatory Variable

The key explanatory variable in this section is subway system development, which is measured in this paper using city subway miles of operation in hundreds of Chinese miles (50 kilometers).

Control Variables

The control variables in this section are selected as described in the base regression model, GDP per capita to measure the level of economic development, population per unit area to measure population density, loan balances of financial institutions as a share of GDP to measure the level of financial development, the share of urban extractive industry employees in total urban employment to measure the degree of resource dependence, and the number of large-scale industrial enterprises in the city to measure the level of industrial development. The number of large industrial enterprises in the city is chosen to measure the level of industrial development.

Mechanism Variables

Referring to Gan et al., the proportion of the city's tertiary industry output to the secondary industry output is used to measure the city's advanced industrial structure [44].

Data Description

The data used in this paper come from the annual statistical and analytical reports published by the China Urban Rail Transportation Association from 2007 to 2019, the China Urban Statistical Yearbook, the China Regional Economic Statistical Yearbook, and the statistical yearbooks and bulletins of individual cities. Some missing values in the China Urban Statistical Yearbook were supplemented from the China Regional Economic Statistical Yearbook, the statistical yearbooks, and bulletins of each city, or by applying the interpolation method. The main reason for the time choice of 2007 to 2019 is based on the availability of data. There are more missing values in the data before 2007, and the data after 2019 are affected by the epidemic shock. The epidemic shock in late 2019 had a significant negative impact on the number of new metro miles as well as metro ridership, and the impact of the epidemic on economic growth was felt across the board, with most areas shutting down production and work due to concerns about protecting people's health. On the one hand, because of the poor data, and on the other hand, because of the epidemic shock, it is difficult to identify the impact of the development of the metro system on green economic growth during this period.

In the empirical analysis, this paper takes the logarithm of the explanatory variable green total factor productivity plus 1, takes the logarithm of all control variables, and takes the logarithm again after adding 1 to the data with zero values. This paper constructs a panel dataset of 41 cities that opened and operated subways from 2007 to 2019, and the descriptive statistics of each variable are shown in Table 3.

Table 1. Description of input-output variables for measuring green economy growth.

Category	Variable	Data description
Input	Labor (L)	Number of employees in the city at the end of the year
	Capital stock (K)	Referring to the practice of Zhang et al., the “perpetual inventory method” is adopted to estimate the capital stock data of prefecture-level cities [42]. The base period for calculating the capital stock of prefecture-level municipalities is 2006, and the calculation is publicized as $K_{it} = K_{it-1} (1 - \delta_{it}) + I_{it}$, and the depreciation rate is 10%.
	Energy (E)	Due to the lack of energy data for prefecture-level municipalities, DMSP/OLS nighttime lighting data were fitted with reference to Wu et al. [43]
Expected outputs	GDP (Y)	Real GDP of prefecture-level cities, using 2003 as the base period
Non-expected outputs	Dust and fumes (D)	Citywide industrial dust and fumes emissions
	Sulfur dioxide (S)	Citywide industrial sulfur dioxide emissions
	Wastewater (W)	Citywide industrial wastewater discharge

Table 6. Endogeneity test.

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	IV1	IV2	IV3
<i>ln_subway</i>	0.0578**	0.0408***	0.0399**
	(2.51)	(2.61)	(2.53)
<i>lnSIEN</i>	-0.0122	-0.00700	-0.0154*
	(-1.41)	(-1.06)	(-1.91)
<i>lncejycry</i>	-0.0113*	-0.0160**	-0.0107*
	(-1.77)	(-2.53)	(-1.68)
<i>lnfinance</i>	-0.0653***	-0.0376**	-0.0695***
	(-3.32)	(-2.52)	(-3.63)
<i>lnpopden</i>	0.0359*	0.0408**	0.0376*
	(1.83)	(2.18)	(1.93)
<i>lnpergdp</i>	0.0117	0.00827*	0.0121
	(1.27)	(1.93)	(1.32)
Constant	0.393**	0.345**	0.438**
	(2.04)	(2.44)	(2.34)
Anderson LM	168.767	367.936	355.744
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
C-D Wald F	219.628	1083.319	951.292
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Observations	533	533	533
R – squared	0.140	0.101	0.149
City FE	YES	YES	YES
Year FE	YES	YES	YES

Note: Robust z-statistics in parentheses, *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$

In the three regression results in Table 6, the coefficients of the main explanatory variable are significantly positive, consistent with the results of the baseline regression. This indicates that the original conclusions remain valid even after addressing the endogeneity issue. Additionally, the estimated coefficients from the instrumental variable regression are larger compared to those from the baseline regression. This suggests that the endogeneity problems caused by omitted variables and reverse causality may lead to underestimation in the original estimates. However, the consistency in the sign and significance of the coefficients across different models confirms that the results of this study are robust and reliable.

Mechanism Test

The empirical test in the previous section shows that the development of the subway system has a positive role in promoting urban green economic growth. Then what

is the mechanism of the development of a metro system to promote urban green economic growth? As explained in the previous theoretical part, the development of an urban subway system will affect the industrial structure adjustment and upgrading within the city, and promote urban green economic growth through industrial structure adjustment and upgrading. In order to test this mediating effect mechanism, this paper draws on the methods of Wen et al. [47] and Liu et al. [48] for verification.

From column (1) of Table 7, it can be seen that the development of the metro system has a significant positive impact on green economic growth; from column (2), it can be seen that the regression coefficients of the metro system are all significantly positive, indicating that the development of the metro system can significantly promote the industrial structure of the city to be advanced; from column (3), it can be seen that the advancement of the industrial structure significantly contributes to the growth of the green economy

Table 7. Mechanism Test.

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variables	<i>ln_GTFP</i>	<i>lnupgra</i>	<i>ln_GTFP</i>
<i>ln_subway</i>	0.022***	0.056**	0.017***
	(4.174)	(2.631)	(2.849)
<i>lnupgra</i>			0.089***
			(2.877)
<i>lncjycry</i>	-0.010	-0.028*	-0.008
	(-1.511)	(-1.835)	(-1.220)
<i>lnSIEN</i>	-0.019*	-0.103***	-0.009
	(-1.980)	(-2.914)	(-0.995)
<i>lnfinance</i>	-0.074***	0.156**	-0.088***
	(-3.183)	(2.198)	(-3.309)
<i>lnpopden</i>	0.039*	-0.051	0.044**
	(2.012)	(-1.120)	(2.178)
<i>lnpergdp</i>	0.013	-0.000	0.013
	(1.317)	(-0.002)	(1.348)
Constant	0.503***	1.778***	0.346**
	(3.609)	(4.148)	(2.088)
Observations	533	533	533
R – squared	0.103	0.807	0.125
Control Variables	YES	YES	YES
City FE	YES	YES	YES
Year FE	YES	YES	YES

Note: Robust t-statistics in parentheses*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

and the coefficients of the development of the metro system are still significantly positive so that the mediation mechanism has been verified. Thus, Hypothesis 2 is verified, that is, the development of the metro system promotes the green economic growth of the city through the industrial structure advancement.

Heterogeneity Test

This paper analyzes heterogeneity in the following aspects. First, because of the obvious heterogeneity in the level of green economic growth of the 41 cities that opened and operated subways from 2007 to 2019, this paper distinguishes the efficiency of green economic growth of cities according to whether the green total factor productivity is larger than 1 in that year. The results, as shown in Table 8 (1) and (2) columns, the city of the year the level of green economic growth is better, the development of the subway system plays a positive role in promoting the city's green economic growth, while the level of green economic development is not good in the year, the role of the subway economic

development of the city's green economic growth is negative and insignificant. Secondly, the most essential feature of metro system development is the connectivity and accessibility of transportation. The development of the subway system makes the population flow within the city more convenient, the opportunity for face-to-face communication increases, and the cost of transportation commuting decreases, which is conducive to the dissemination and diffusion of knowledge, and promotes the improvement of the level of innovation in the city. Therefore, this paper explores the heterogeneity of the connectivity of the urban subway system according to the number of urban interchanges and classifies the degree of connectivity of the urban subway system according to whether the number of interchanges is greater than 5 or not. The results, shown in columns (3) and (4), indicate that the development of metro systems has a differential impact on urban green economic growth depending on the connectivity of the metro system. The development of metro systems in cities with higher connectivity plays a positive and significant role in promoting green economic growth,

the accessibility of business clusters is associated with a 0.44 percent increase in the number of skill-intensive firms established. In addition, the new metro facilitates the flow of knowledge from metro station to metro station and helps to facilitate firms' access to knowledge from more distant skill clusters [49]. Therefore, we believe that metro connectivity may play an important role in green technological innovation, which in turn affects green economic growth. In this paper, we further collect data on the number of green patents granted in metro-opening cities, and include green technological innovation in the regression equations, and the results, as shown in Table 9, show that the regression coefficients are significant, which indicates that connectivity plays an important role in innovation as well as knowledge spillovers.

Conclusions and Policy Recommendations

Based on the combination of realistic background and literature review, this paper selects 41 cities in China that have opened and operated subways from 2007 to 2019 as samples, and uses the SBM-GML index model to evaluate green total factor productivity (GTFP) as an indicator of green economic growth, and conducts empirical research on the impacts of the development of urban subway systems on green economic growth. The empirical regression results prove the two research hypotheses of this paper and pass the robustness test. The main research conclusions of this paper are as follows: (1) There are obvious regional imbalances both in terms of subway system development and urban green economic growth, and the gap is widening from 2007 to 2019. (2) Metro system development significantly contributes to green economic growth at the city level, and all other things being equal, every one hundred Chinese miles (50km) increase in metro system development can lead to a 2.2% increase in urban green economic growth. (3) In the robustness test, the empirical results are robust and reliable, regardless of whether the green economic growth is re-measured with a new methodology, the number of subway miles is replaced by the number of stations, a special sample of cities is excluded, or one period is lagged. (4) Heterogeneity analysis shows that the impact of metro system development on green economic growth varies according to the degree of connectivity of the metro system, the level of green growth development, and the level of productive service industry agglomeration. (5) Mechanism analysis shows that metro system development promotes green economic growth through industrial structure advancement, which has a significant partial mediating effect.

This paper not only enriches the existing research to a certain extent, but also has a guiding significance for China's local government to formulate the subway construction plan, realize the rational layout of industrial space, and promote green and sustainable development.

First of all, the government needs to attach great importance to the heterogeneity of cities and avoid "one-size-fits-all" policies and regulations. In the face of the requirements of high-quality development of the national economy and the reality of the new development stage to strengthen green environmental protection, local governments and metro companies should scientifically and reasonably formulate the development goals of dual-carbon and green metro rail, and systematically plan the green and low-carbon implementation actions. Actively build green metro lines, green stations, green yards, green TOD, and green factories, and strive to promote urban green economic growth.

Secondly, the government needs to realize the advanced industrial structure is of profound significance to green and sustainable development. The TOD (Transit-Oriented Development) mode is a new mode of urban development oriented to public transportation. The main feature is to take the public transportation hub site as the core, and through the deep integration of the public transportation site with the surrounding land, to build land-intensive, economical, convenient, and efficient transportation, low-carbon and friendly environment, and green transportation-led, warm, and attractive urban environment suitable for living, working, and traveling, so as to realize the high-quality development of the city. Through the corridor effect and circle effect generated by the application of the TOD mode to attract relevant productive and living service industries, and strive to build the area where the TOD project is located into a modern city center integrating external transportation, shopping, entertainment, leisure, and recreation.

There is a large Pareto improvement in the resource consumption and environmental pollution generated by the whole industry chain in the process of metro construction and operation compared with the economic growth it realizes. To unleash the development potential of the city, it is necessary to adopt green energy-saving standards and green key technologies, innovate operation and management modes, and carry out green emission reduction and energy-saving efficiency actions.

Finally, local governments should focus on future development, scientifically plan metro lines, optimize the layout of interchange stations, enhance the connectivity and accessibility of the metro system, and comprehensively improve the ability of residents to commute over medium and long distances.

There are some limitations to the research presented in this study. Firstly, there is a limitation in the perspective of the analysis, which mainly focused on the 41 cities where metro stations have been opened, and in future analyses, it may be more relevant to explore the issue from the micro perspective of metro stations rather than from the city perspective. In addition, due to the limited availability of data, there is room for more scientific improvement in the measurement of green economic growth in our study, such as using a more advanced green economic growth index. Finally,

- online: https://www.gov.cn/gongbao/content/2018/content_5309432.htm (accessed on 12 March 2024).
24. XIAO T. The Impact of Metro Construction on Total Factor Productivity in China's Cities: Mechanism of Action and Quantitative Assessment. *World Economic Journal*, (1), 100, **2021**.
 25. JIANG M. Study on the Impact of Urban Rail Transit on Employment and Industrial Structure Optimisation. Shenzhen University, **2020**.
 26. FU M., CONG Z. Metro Opening and Urban Green Total Factor Productivity. *Industrial Economics Review*, (2), 167, **2023**.
 27. LI S., CHEN L., ZHAO P. The impact of metro services on housing prices: a case study from Beijing. *Transportation*, **46** (4), 1291, **2019**.
 28. LI S., LIU Y., PUREVJAV A.-O., YANG L. Does subway expansion improve air quality? *Journal of Environmental Economics & Management*, **96** (0), 213, **2019**.
 29. CHENGHAN X., YANG Y., GUANGQING C. Subway development and obesity: Evidence from China. *Journal of Transport and Health*, **21**, 01065, **2021**.
 30. WANG Y., YUAN W. Metro Opening, Collaboration and Corporate Innovation. *Economic Review*, (6), 140, **2023**.
 31. WANG Q., LIU X., ZHANG F., HU T. Subways and the Diffusion of Knowledge: Evidence from China. *China & World Economy*, **30** (4), 60, **2022**.
 32. WANG Y., YUAN W. Metro Opening, Knowledge Spillovers and Urban Innovation - Evidence from 289 Prefectural Cities in China. *Economic Science*, (2), 82, **2022**.
 33. LIN S., ZHOU Z., HU X., CHEN S., HUANG J. How can urban economic complexity promote green economic growth in China? The perspective of green technology innovation and industrial structure upgrading. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, **450**, 141807, **2024**.
 34. WANG B., LIU G. An Exploration of Economic Growth Dynamics under Energy Saving and Emission Reduction Constraints - An Empirical Study Based on BDDFM. *Economic Issues*, (10), 7, **2015**.
 35. YANG Z., WEN C. Evaluation of Green Development Efficiency and Regional Differences in China. *Economic Geography*, **37** (3), 10, **2017**.
 36. YUE H., XU Y., ZHOU J. Measuring Green Total Factor Productivity and Contribution to Technological Innovation in Chinese Industry. *Shanghai Economic Research*, (4), 52, **2018**.
 37. ZHOU W., LU J., SUN T. The Spatial Impact of Rail Transit on Industrial Development in Beijing. *Urban Issues*, (3), 35, **2017**.
 38. ZHU H., ZHU W., ZHENG W. The Impact of Metro on Service Industry Agglomeration - A Case Study of Guangzhou City. *Tropical Geography*, **41** (1), 114, **2021**.
 39. WANG R., Impact of Urban Transportation Infrastructure Construction on Green Development Efficiency. *Sustainable Development*, **12** (1), 115, **2022**.
 40. ZHANG Y., DONG Q., NI M. Discussion on the Development of the Service Industry and the "Structural Slowdown" - Another Discussion on the Construction of a Modernised Economic System with High-Quality Development. *Economics Dynamics*, (2), 23, **2018**.
 41. OH D.-H. A global Malmquist-Luenberger productivity index. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, **34** (3), 183, **2010**.
 42. ZHANG J., ZHANG Y. Re-estimation of China's capital stock K. *Economic Research*, (7), 35, **2003**.
 43. WU J., NIU Y. PENG J., WANG Z., HUANG X. Energy Consumption Dynamics in Chinese Prefecture-level Cities Based on DMSP/OLS Nighttime Lighting Data, 1995-2009. *Geographic Research*, (4), 625, **2014**.
 44. GAN C., ZHENG R., YU D. The Impact of Industrial Structure Change on Economic Growth and Volatility in China. *Economic Research*, **46** (05), 4, **2011**.
 45. FARE R., GROSSKOPF S. Directional distance functions and slacks-based measures of efficiency. *European Journal Of Operational Research*, **200** (1), 320, **2010**.
 46. CHEN Z., HE Q., SU X., YUAN Y., CHEN S. The opening conditions of the metro and its early characteristics: A historical perspective. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*, **108** (0), 103732, **2021**.
 47. WENG Z., ZHANG L., HOU T., LIU Y. Mediation effects test procedure and its application. *Psychology Daily*. (5), 614, **2004**.
 48. LIU Y., LI X., MA S., LI M. A chain mediation model of entrepreneurial teachers' experience and teaching competency - *anagement Education*, **22** (1), 100946, **2024**.
 49. DU R., ZHENG S. Agglomeration, housing affordability, and new firm formation: The role of subway network(Article). *Journal of Housing Economics*, **48** (0), 101668, **2020**.