Original Research

Molecular Mechanisms Underlying Triple and Single-Capsule Sesame (*Sesamum Indicum* L.) Varieties and Their Antioxidant Enzyme Activities

Aynur B. Özçinar¹, Hüseyin Arslan^{2*}, Behçet İnal³

¹Department of Field Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Siirt University, Siirt, Turkey ²Department of Field Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Harran University, Şanlıurfa, Turkey ³Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Siirt University, Siirt, Turkey

> Received: 28 November 2023 Accepted: 29 March 2024

Abstract

Sesame is a historic oilseed crop cultivated globally. The crop harbors natural antioxidants in plant tissues, including leaves, stems, and seeds. Understanding flower development mechanisms is crucial for higher yield and quality. However, the physiological and molecular aspects of threeand single-capsule flower development in sesame remain unexplored. This study investigated the transcriptome during sesame flower development, focusing on three-capsule (Arslanbey) and singlecapsule (Hatipoğlu) cultivars during 2017-2018. Physiological analyses (iron chelation, DPPH, FRAP) and qRT-PCR of APETALA1, APETALA2, SPL4, SOC1, AT4G37770, SILPT3, and beta-glucosidase genes were conducted. Metal-iron chelation, FRAP, and DPPH in leaf tissues indicated higher values in the single-capsule cultivar, while catalase and peroxidase activity were higher in the three-capsule cultivar. Expression analyses at different weeks post-flowering showed peak levels for AP1, AP2, SPL4, SILPT3, and beta-glucosidase at 8W-H (8th-Hatipoğlu) in the single-capsule cultivar, and for SOC1 and AT4G37770 at 9W-H (9th-Hatipoğlu). Capsule-related gene expression over 9 weeks revealed 8W-H (8th-Hatipoğlu). As abundant for APETALA1, APETALA2, SPL4, SILPT3, and beta-glucosidase, while 9W-H (9th-Hatipoğlu) was abundant for SOC1 and AT4G37770. In this study, which was carried out to examine the factors affecting the encapsulation status of single-capsule and three-capsule sesame varieties, evaluations were made based on the data obtained as a result of the observations and analysis. All analyses have shown that the single and triple encapsulation phenomena are quite complex and depend on multiple gene mechanisms and multiple biochemicals. In summary, our study unveils the intricate genetic and biochemical factors influencing capsule development in single-capsule and three-capsule sesame varieties. These findings offer valuable insights for future breeding strategies and molecular studies in sesame and related crops.

Keywords: antioxidant, molecular, single and three capsule, sesame, PCR

Introduction

Sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) is known as an ancient oilseed crop belonging to the Pedaliaceae family and has been widely cultivated in tropical and subtropical areas of Asia, Africa, and South America [1, 2]. Sesame is widely traded in local, regional, and international markets. Furthermore, population pressure, urbanization, and changing lifestyles have increased the global demand for sesame products [3]. Sesame seeds contain 44%-58% oil, 18%-25% protein, 13.5% carbohydrate, and 5% ash [4]. Sesame seed oil is a popular edible oil due to its pleasant and mild taste, excellent antioxidant activity, and high nutritional value [5]. Sesame seeds showed many biological activities such as antioxidant, anticancer, antidiabetic, and cardioprotective [6]. Sesame oil is rich in linoleic and oleic acids, has a predominance of gamma-tocopherol over the other isomers of vitamin E, and has a high content of fat-soluble ligands (sesamin and sesamolin) [1]. Sesame seeds show many biological activities, such as antioxidant, anticancer, antidiabetic, and cardioprotective [6].

Sesame flowers emerge from the leaf axils. The sesame seeds that have been produced until today are generally divided into two groups, i.e., single and triple-capsule. In the single-capsule group, only one flower bud occurs in each leaf axil of the plant, and the two sides of it are atrophied. The number of capsules in the leaf axil, a crucial component of yield, consistently matches the number of flowers that open in the same location and is consistently assessed in field studies [7]. Approximately 93% of Turkish sesame seeds are single-capsuled. Three flowers are formed in each leaf axil in the three-flowers group; the middle blooms first and matures earlier than the lateral flowers. All three flowers develop and form capsules in three-capsuled plants. The three-capsule group accounts for 7% of the total sesame production in Türkiye [8].

Natural antioxidants are present in nearly all plant tissues, with a notable concentration in leaves, stems, and seeds. The exploration of natural antioxidants derived from plants has experienced a significant surge in recent years, aiming to enhance their accessibility [9]. The plants have antioxidant systems comprising nonenzymatic and enzymatic antioxidants (i.e., peroxidase, superoxide dismutase, glutathione reductase, catalase, etc.), which alleviate the negative impacts of oxidative stress [10, 11]. Understanding the prevailing genetic variability, magnitude of heritability, and correlation of agronomic traits plays a vital role in the effective use of germplasm [3]. Extensive research has recently been carried out on the potential of food byproducts as an antioxidant-rich source that could be applied as food additives [12, 13]. Bioactive compounds extracted from byproducts (the agro-food chain) could be one of the most promising means of producing natural antioxidants [14].

Members of the AP2 subfamily are involved in a variety of molecular pathways associated with plant growth, development, and response to various environmental stresses by regulating target gene expression [15]. Apetala2/ethylene responsive factor (AP2/ERF) and WRKY genes are major components of complex regulatory networks in plants during developmental processes and defense responses [16, 17]. Several AP2 genes mediate the biosynthesis of storage compounds during seed development in Arabidopsis [18]. The AP2 transcription factors (TFs) have been documented to be involved in various processes, such as glycolytic and fatty acid biosynthesis [19]. Additionally, the AP2 TFs play roles in regulating germination and seedling growth and suppressing flowering and embryonic development [20], particularly under the influence of the microRNA miR172 [21].

Specifically, the genes Flowering Locus C (FLC), Suppressor of Overexpression of Constants 1 (SOC1), and Short Vegetative Phase (SVP) integrate signals from different flowering time regulatory pathways to regulate flowering transition [22-24]. These genes can either be positive regulators (SOC1, AGL24) or negative regulators (FLC, SVP) of genes regulating the identity of the flower meristem [25, 26].

Previous studies have extensively explored the intricate mechanisms underlying the regulation of flowering in fruit plants [27-29]. The process is found to be highly complex, governed by multiple pathways and intricate gene interactions. These investigations have delved into the molecular intricacies and signaling cascades that orchestrate the precise timing and development of flowers in fruit-bearing plants. The findings underscore the importance of understanding the diverse genetic and molecular factors that contribute to the intricate floral regulatory networks in fruit plants, providing valuable insights for horticultural practices, crop management, and genetic improvement strategies [30, 31]. Until now, a multitude of genes associated with flowering have been recognized. Among these, key genes FLC, flowering locus T (FT), SOC1, leafy (LFY), apetala 1 (AP1), and fruitful (FUL) have been extensively identified and thoroughly characterized for their roles in initiating the flowering process in Arabidopsis thaliana. These primary genes, through their well-established functions, play pivotal roles in orchestrating the complex molecular events that culminate in the induction of flowering in this model plant species [32, 33] However, the functional characterization of genes involved in flower growth and development is not yet clear in many fruit plants.

Species such as *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Petunia hybrida*, *Antirrhinum majus*, and *Oryza sativa* are used as model plants for the development of flower organs in plants and to reveal the genetic and molecular mechanisms of flower formation [34]. Members of the AP2 subfamily are involved in many molecular pathways associated with plant growth, development, and response to various environmental stresses by regulating target

gene expression [15]. SPL proteins control the expression of the FUL, LFY, and AP1 genes by directly binding to their regulators [35]. Genes derived from auxin play a role in ethylene biosynthesis, indicating that the regulation of capsule numbers in sesame is influenced by plant hormones. An *Arabidopsis* homolog of SiACS8, identified as AT4G37770 (AtACS8), shares similarities and may contribute to similar regulatory mechanisms [36]. Beta glucosidases in plants are involved in several biological functions, such as defensive symbiosis, cell wall catabolism and lignification, signaling, and plant secondary metabolism functions. Furthermore, many beta glucosidases genes are induced by biotic and abiotic stresses or form a successful response to these stressors [37].

The genetic resources for flower development, however, are limited to three-capsule and singlecapsule molecular mechanisms in sesame. Molecular studies on flower buds, sepals, petals, ovules, and finally flower development are required to reveal the genetic mechanism of reproductive development in sesame. This study was carried out to determine the physiological and molecular mechanisms of three-capsule and singlecapsule sesame cultivars that are effective in flower formation genes. Seven different genes (APETALA1, APETALA2, SPL4, SOC1, AT4G37770, SILPT3, and beta-glucosidase) were identified for the transcriptomic analysis. The findings reported in this paper provide some information about the molecular and enzyme mechanisms underlying triple encapsulation and single encapsulation events in sesame plants.

Materials and Methods

The study was carried out in the experimental area of the Department of Field Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Siirt University, in 2017 and 2018. The sesame varieties, i.e., 'Arslanbey' (three capsules) and 'Hatipoğlu' (single capsule), were used in the study. The seeds of both varieties were sown manually on July 5, 2017, and June 28, 2018. The experiment was set up in a randomized block design with three replications. In the trial, each plot was designed with a length of six meters, four rows per plot, and a row spacing of 70 cm, resulting in a total plot area of 16.8 square meters. Considering the soil analysis, diammonium phosphate and urea fertilizers were applied homogeneously in each plot before planting, with 80 kg of phosphorus and 40 kg of nitrogen per hectare [38]. In both years of the experiment, irrigation was carried out with the drip irrigation method on average 5-6 times. Harvesting was carried out on October 15 2017 and on October 23 2018.

Based on the flowering periods of the varieties, leaf samples were taken during the flowering phase for nine weeks (one week before the start of flowering, the week when flowering started, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 weeks after flowering initiation). Selected leaf samples were sterilized with 70% ethyl alcohol and placed in labeled bags, and the bags were immediately placed in foam containing dry ice. The samples were kept at -80°C in the Hailer ultra-low temperature (ULT) DW-86L486 model freezer without delay, located in the Siirt University Science and Technology Application and Research Centre Laboratory.

Soil Properties of the Experimental Area

The soils of the experimental field had a clayey texture and were non-saline (salt-free), slightly calcareous and low in organic matter, phosphorus, and potassium, as well as being slightly acidic in 2017 and slightly alkaline in 2018.

Meteorological Data

The long-term average temperature of the study region was 24.8°C, the average maximum temperature was 40.5°C, the average minimum temperature was 18.4°C, and the average relative humidity was 35.7%. Compared to the long-term average of the study area, the average temperature was 1.9°C higher in both years. The average maximum temperature was 32.9°C, which was below the long-term average. The average minimum temperature values were close to the long-term data. The average relative humidity values remained below average for different years.

Antioxidant Analysis

The iron chelating activities of the samples were modified according to the method of Dinis et al. [39]. The method proposed by Benzie and Szeto [40] was used to determine the FRAP reduction capacity. The DPPH radical scavenging activities of the obtained compounds were performed according to the method of Blois [41].

Catalase and Peroxidase Activity Analysis

The catalase (CAT) enzyme activity was determined according to the method of Aebi [42]. The POD enzyme activity was determined under laboratory conditions using the method of Kalın [43]. The absorbance difference at 470 nm was calculated for the enzyme activities.

Total Ribonucleic Acid (RNA) Isolation

Total ribonucleic acid (RNA) from collected leaf samples was isolated by using the chemical TRIzol (Invitrogen). 100 mg of plant samples were digested with liquid nitrogen and homogenized in 2 mL sterile tubes containing 1 mL of TRIzol reagent. The homogenized samples were kept at room temperature for 5 minutes, and the nucleoprotein complexes were completely separated. For 1 mL of TRIzol reagent, 0.2 mL of chloroform was added to the tubes. The tubes

were shaken vigorously by hand for 15 seconds, kept at room temperature for 2-3 minutes, and then centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 20 minutes at 4°C. The upper liquid phase was transferred to a new tube and mixed with isopropyl alcohol to allow the precipitation of RNA. Half of the TRIzol reagent used during homogenization was added to the isopropyl alcohol. After the samples were kept at room temperature for 10 minutes, they were centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C. The RNA precipitate was washed with 75% ethanol for each 1 mL of TRIzol reagent used. After mixing the samples with a vortex, they were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 minutes at 4°C. After the RNA precipitate was left to dry for 5-10 minutes, it was dissolved in 30 µl of sterile deionized water (ddH₂O) and kept at 55-60°C for 10 minutes.

cDNA Synthesis

The expression levels of leaf samples were characterized by the qRT-PCR. Firstly, cDNA was synthesized, the total RNAs of the tissues were cleaned, and the mixture formed by adding the components determined for cDNA was kept at 65°C for 5 minutes and then immediately placed on ice.

Quantification of Some Genes in Single and Triple-Capsule Samples by qRT-PCR

Forward (F) and reverse (R) primers designed for target genes (APETALA2, SPL4, SOC1, AT4G37770, SILPT3, APETALA1, beta-glucosidase) detected by bioinformatics methods were designed using Primer3 software. The primers were designed to amplify a region of the target gene up to 200 bp. For the quantitative analysis of each target gene, specific mixtures were prepared. 2 μ l of 1/10 dilutions of cDNAs synthesized from total RNAs purified after isolation for seven different libraries were used in three replications. The experiment was started following the equalization of the cDNA density in each library based on the 18S rRNA gene.

In qRT-PCR experiments with genes, the optimum binding temperature for the primer of each different gene was optimized. The samples were denatured at 95°C and kept at 65°C for the melting curve analysis. Fluorescence signals were taken at 530 nm from 65°C to 95°C per second against a 0.5°C gradient increase. The results were analyzed using Picoreal (Thermo) software.

Statistical Analysis

Each experiment was performed in triplicate, and the results were presented as mean values. We employed analysis of variance (ANOVA) to assess statistical differences between sesame varieties and weeks. Following a significant ANOVA result, means were compared using the LSD multiple range test to identify specific treatment groups that differed from each other. All statistical analyses were performed using JUMP (version 7.1) software.

Results and Discussion

The percentage of iron-metal chelating, FRAP, DPPH, catalase, and peroxidase enzyme activities in the leaf tissues of the cultivars and the expression levels of APETALA1, APETALA2, SPL4, SOC1, AT4G37770, SILPT3, and beta-glucosidase genes involved in flower formation were studied.

The highest average iron chelation percentage value (12.78%) was recorded for the single-capsule cultivar. The highest average value (21.61%) was noted during the 1st week, followed by the 6th, 9th, 8th, 3rd, 4th, 7th, 1st, and 5th weeks, respectively (Table 1). The highest mean value (37.13%) was noted for the single-capsule cultivar (Table 1). Zhao et al. [44] stated that metal chelation activities in barley varieties ranged from 1.15 to 2.06 μ molEDTA/g.

The individual and interactive effects of cultivars and weeks were significant (p<0.01) for FRAP absorbance (Table 2). The highest average FRAP absorbance (0.74) was recorded for the single-capsule cultivar in the 2^{nd} week, and 0.70 in the 9th week after flowering initiation. Regarding cultivar × week interaction, the highest FRAP absorbance values were recorded during the 2^{nd} week (0.74) and the 9th week (0.70) for the single-capsule cultivar (Table 2).

The DPPH value was significantly (p<0.01) altered by the individual and interactive effects of cultivars and weeks (Table 1). The highest DPPH value (25.60 inhibition) was recorded for the single-capsule cultivar. Regarding weeks, the highest inhibition (29.75%) was noted during the 3rd week, followed by the 4th, 2nd, 1st, 9th, 7th, 8th, 5th, and 6th weeks, respectively. The highest mean DPPH value (32.88%) in cultivar × week interaction was noted for the 4th week × single-capsule cultivar (Table 3).

The order of DPPH radical scavenging activity in single and triple-capsule cultivars and BHA and trolox standards were Troloks (89.45%)>BHA (78.04%)>single-capsule cultivar (32.88%)>triple-capsule (28.33%) cultivar. The order of FRAP activity was BHA>trolox >single-capsule cultivar>triple-capsule cultivar (37.14%) was higher than that of the triple-capsule cultivar (16.59%).

The difference in catalase enzyme activity in week and cultivar × week interaction was highly significant (p<0.01), while cultivar remained non-significant in this regard (Table 4). The highest mean catalase value (31.97 EU/mL) was obtained before flowering initiation, followed by the 3rd, 7th, 8th, 4th, 9th, 6th, 5th, and 2nd weeks after flowering, respectively. The highest average catalase value in cultivar × week interaction (36.26 EU/mL)

Variety	Week											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9			
Arslanbey	5.79 k	6.08 jk	16.36 c	7.33 hi	6.07 jk	9.53 ef	9.71 e	8.15gh	16.59c	9.51 b		
Hatipoğlu	6.66 ij	37.13 a	6.98 i	8.78 fg	3.091	27.92 b	2.871	14.70d	6.90i	12.78 a		
Mean	6.22 e	21.61 a	11.67 c	8.06d	4.58 f	18.73 b	6.29e	11.43c	11.75c	11.15		
CV (%): 4.49		LSD: Variety: 0.28 **			Week: 0.59 ** Variety X Week: 0.83 **							

Table 1. The analysis table of Iron chelation data of the leaf tissues of the cultivars.

**; P<0.01, LSD: Least significant difference, CV: coefficient of variation,1: Before Flowering 1. Week, 2: Flowering Period, 3: After Flowering 1. week, 4: After Flowering 2. week, 5: After Flowering 3. week, 6: After Flowering 4. week, 7: After Flowering 5. week, 8: After Flowering 6. week, 9: After Flowering 7. week

Table 2. The variance analysis of FRAP absorbance in leaf tissues of cultivars Table 1. The analysis table of Iron chelation data of the leaf tissues of the cultivars.

Variety	Week										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
Arslanbey	0.53 de	0.53 de	0.53 d-e	0.47 e	0.50 de	0.49 de	0.57 cd	0.48 e	0.51 de	0.51 b	
Hatipoğlu	0.62 c	0.98 a	0.65 c	0.81 b	0.62 c	0.63 c	0.64 c	0.81 b	0.90 a	0.74 a	
Mean	0.58 c	0.75 a	0.59 bc	0.64 b	0.56 c	0.56 c	0.60 bc	0.64 b	0.70 a	0.63	
CV (%): 7.8		LSI	D: Variety: 0	.028 **	Week: 0.059 ** Variety X Week: 0.083 **						

**; P<0.01, LSD: Least significant difference, CV: coefficient of variation, 1: Before Flowering 1. Week, 2: Flowering Period, 3: After Flowering 1. week, 4: After Flowering 2. week, 5: After Flowering 3. week, 6: After Flowering 4. week, 7: After Flowering 5. week, 8: After Flowering 6. week, 9: After Flowering 7. week

Table 3. The variance analysis for DPPH % inhibition in leaf tissues of cultivars.

Variety	Week											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9			
Arslanbey	24.48 e	27.47 d	28.38 c	25.12 e	17.95 h	16.83 ij	22.05 f	17.79 h	15.55 k	21.74 b		
Hatipoğlu	31.55 b	28.97 c	31.11 b	32.88 a	17.25 hi	16.39 j	19.74 g	21.37 f	31.17 b	25.60 a		
Mean	28.01 c	28.22 c	29.75 a	29.00 b	17.60 g	16.61 h	20.90 e	19.58 f	23.36 d	23.67		
CV (%): 2.11	LSD: Variety: 0.28 **				Week:	0.59 **	Variety X	K Week: 0.83	3 **			

**; P<0.01, LSD: Least significant difference, CV: coefficient of variation, 1: Before Flowering 1. Week, 2: Flowering Period, 3: After Flowering 1. week, 4: After Flowering 2. week, 5: After Flowering 3. week, 6: After Flowering 4. week, 7: After Flowering 5. week, 8: After Flowering 6. week, 9: After Flowering 7. week

Variety	Week										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
Arslanbey	36.26 a	18.43 ij	26.46 e	26.46 e	22.07 fg	22.35 fg	18.89 ij	22.12 fg	20.71 h	23.75	
Hatipoğlu	27.68 d	18.64 ij	29.14 c	21.67 g	18.28 j	19.19 1	30.40 b	26.97 d-e	22.88 f	23.87	
Mean	31.97 a	18.54 g	27.80 b	24.07 c	20.18 f	20.77 e	24.65 c	24.55 c	21.80 d	23.81	
CV (%): 2.10		LS	SD: Va	riety: ns	Week: 0.59 ** Variety X Week: 0.83**						

**; P<0.01, LSD: Least significant difference, CV: coefficient of variation, ns: not significant, 1: Before Flowering 1. Week, 2: Flowering Period, 3: After Flowering 1. week, 4: After Flowering 2. week, 5: After Flowering 3. week, 6: After Flowering 4. week, 7: After Flowering 5. week, 8: After Flowering 6. week, 9: After Flowering 7. week

Variety	Week											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9			
Arslanbey	0.02 p	0.00 q	0.04 h	0.04 1	0.05 f	0.07 d	0.05 g	0.15 a	0.11 b	0.06 a		
Hatipoğlu	0.03 k	0.00 r	0.02 o	0.09 c	0.06 e	0.02 m	0.02 n	0.04 j	0.03 1	0.03 b		
Mean	0.02 h	0.00 i	0.03 g	0.06 c	0.06 d	0.05 e	0.03 f	0.09 a	0.07 b	0.05		
CV (%): 0.0	LSD: Variety: 0.00003 **				Week: 0.00005 ** Variety X Week: 0.00008 **							

Table 5. The variance analysis for Peroxidase enzyme activity (EU/mL) in leaf tissues of cultivars.

**; P<0.01, LSD: Least significant difference, CV: coefficient of variation, 1: Before Flowering 1. Week, 2: Flowering Period, 3: After Flowering 1. week, 4: After Flowering 2. week, 5: After Flowering 3. week, 6: After Flowering 4. week, 7: After Flowering 5. week, 8: After Flowering 6. week, 9: After Flowering 7. week

was recorded during the 1^{st} week for the three-capsule cultivar (Table 4).

The peroxidase enzyme activity was significantly (p<0.01) affected by individual and interactive effects of cultivars and weeks (Table 5). The highest average peroxidase value (0.15 EU/mL) was noted for the three-capsule cultivar during the 8^{th} week after flowering, followed by the 9^{th} , 4^{th} , 5^{th} , 6^{th} , 7^{th} , 3^{rd} , 1^{st} , and 2^{nd} weeks after flowering, respectively.

Molecular Analyses

Expression levels of APETALA1, APETALA2, SPL4, SOC1, AT4G37770, SILPT3, and beta-glucosidase genes are given in Fig 1.

The AP1 gene was intensely increased in the singlecapsule cultivar during the 6th week after flowering initiation. The Hatipoğlu cultivar had a single flower characteristic and formed only one capsule in the leaf axil.

Loss of function mutations in APETALA1 cause partial conversion to a flower-like identity rather than flower meristems. In addition, the co-production of APETALA1 and LFY double mutants did not result in flower formation [45].

The APETALA2 gene was intensely detected in 8W-H, 9W-A, 9W-H, 7W-A, 1W-A, 5W-H, 6W-H, 4W-A, 4W-H, 1W-H, 7W-H, 3W-A, 6W-A, 5W-A, and 2W-H samples (Fig. 1). However, the APETALA2 gene was almost not detected in 2W-A, 3W-H, and 8W-A samples.

Moreover, the expression of the APETALA2 gene exhibited notable elevation in the single-capsule cultivar, akin to the heightened expression observed for the APETALA1 gene during the 6th week post-flowering. Prior research has highlighted the influence of the APETALA2 gene on augmenting the overall oil content of seeds [46, 47]. The Hatipoğlu cultivar, characterized by a high oil rate, showcased an active APETALA2 gene. These results underscore the significant impact of the APETALA2 gene on the oil content of the Hatipoğlu cultivar.

The SPL4 gene was intensely detected in 8W-H, 9W-A, 7W-A, 9W-H, 1W-A, 5W-H, 1W-H, 6W-A, 6W-H,

4W-A, 4W-H, 7W-H, 5W-A, 3W-A, 3W-H, 2W, 2W-H, and 8W-A samples, respectively (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the expression of the SPL4 gene exhibited a substantial increase in the single-capsule cultivar, resembling the heightened expression patterns observed for the APETALA1 and APETALA2 genes in the 6th week after flowering initiation. It is noteworthy that SPL proteins exert control over the expression of FUL, LFY, and AP1 genes by directly binding to their regulatory elements [48].

The beta glucosidase gene was detected at high density in 8W-H, 9W-A, 9W-H, 7W-A, 1W-A, 5W-H, 6W-A, 6W-H, 1W-H, 4W-A, 4W-H, 7W-H, 5W-A, 3W-A, 3W-H, 2W-A, 2W-H, and 8W-A samples, respectively (Fig. 1). In addition, the beta glucosidase gene was very high in the single-capsule cultivars in the 6th week after flowering initiation.

The AT4G37770 gene was intensely detected in 9W-H, 8W-H, 7W-A, 9W-A, 1W-A, 1W-H, 5W-H, 6W-H, 6W-A, 7W-H, 5W-A, 4W-A, 4W-H, and 3W-A A samples, respectively (Fig. 1). Whereas, the AT4G37770 gene was almost not detected in 3W-H, 2W-A, and 2W-H, 8W-A samples. The AT4G37770 gene was very high in the single-capsule cultivar in the 6th week after the onset of flowering.

The SOC1 gene was intensely detected in 9W-H, 8W-H, 7W-A, 5W-H, 1W-A, 6W-A, 9W-A, 1W-H, 6W-H, 4W-A, 7W-H, 4W-H, 3W-A, and 2W-H samples, respectively (Fig. 1). However, the SOC1 gene was almost not detected in 3W-H, 2W-A, 5W-A, and 8W-A samples. The SOC1 gene was very high in the singlecapsule cultivar in the 7th week post-flowering.

The SILPT3 gene was intensely detected in 8W-H, 9W-A, 7W-A, 9W-H, 1W-A, 5W-H, 6W-A, 3W-A, 6W-H, 2W-A, 7W-H, 1W-H, 5W-A, 4W-A, 4W-H, 2W-H, and 8W-A samples, respectively (Fig. 1). However, the SILPT3 gene was almost not detected in the 3W-H sample. In addition, the SILPT3 gene was quite high in the single-capsule cultivar in the 6th week after the onset of flowering.

The oxidative stability of sesame oil obtained from whole seeds is higher than that extracted from de-husked seeds [49]. El-Roby et al. [50] stated that the ethanol extract of sesame seed coat has an antioxidant



1W-H: 1th week-Hatipoğlu, 1W-A: 1th week-Arslanbey, 2W-H: 2th week-Hatipoğlu, 2W-A: 2th week-Arslanbey, 3W-H: 3th week-Hatipoğlu, 3W-A: 3th week-Arslanbey, 4W-H: 4th week-Hatipoğlu, 4W-A: 4th week-Arslanbey, 5W-H: 5th week-Hatipoğlu, 5W-A: 5th week-Arslanbey, 6W-H: 6th week-Hatipoğlu, 6W-A: 6th week-Arslanbey, 7W-H: 7th week-Hatipoğlu, 7W-A: 7th week-Arslanbey, 8W-H: 8th week-Hatipoğlu, 8W-A: 8th week-Arslanbey, 9W-H: 9th week-Hatipoğlu, 9W-A: 9th week-Arslanbey

Fig. 1. Expression levels of the apetala1 (AP1), apetala2 (AP2), SPL4, SOC1, AT4G37770, SILPT3 and betaglucosidase genes in leaf tissues of different flowering periods of cultivars

activity like copherol. Besides, the antioxidant activity of a black sesame coat was higher compared to a white sesame coat [51]. Previous studies reported that antioxidant activity has a positive correlation with the phenolic content of sesame seeds [52-54].

The CAT and POD enzyme activities were higher in both cultivars before the beginning of flowering compared to the flowering period, and the activities decreased at the beginning of the flowering period. The results showed that plants stored enzymes in preparation for flowering and consumed them during the flowering period. The enzyme activities increased following the flowering period in both cultivars.

Numerous studies have demonstrated that an increased accumulation of phenolic compounds in plants can serve as a protective mechanism against diseases. This enhanced phenolic accumulation is associated with heightened activity of defense-related enzymes, contributing to the plant's ability to resist and combat various pathogens [55-57]. The findings presented by Zhou et al. [58] underscore the significant roles played by the APETALA1/FUL, AP3/PI, AGL104, and SOC1

genes in the intricate process of floral organ formation in E. agallocha. Furthermore, Song et al. [59] conducted a comprehensive analysis, identifying genes with pronounced expression across more than three tissues, such as SiLTPXI.7 and SiLTPI.24, which exhibited exclusive expression in flowers, stems, and leaves. In their investigation of nsLTP genes across different tissues in four sesame genotypes, the researchers revealed that the -nsLTP genes serve as primary contributors to the elevated oil content observed in sesame seeds. Therefore, the high oil rate of the Hatipoğlu cultivar can be attributed to the high SILPT3 gene in the single-capsule Hatipoğlu cultivar. The findings suggest that SiLTPI.23 and SiLTPI.28 may interact with APETALA2 transcription factors to promote oil accumulation in sesame seeds by inducing fatty acid and other lipid biosynthesis in developing seeds and by enhancing their transfer from other sesame tissues into developing seeds [59].

The gene expression levels related to the encapsulation status in leaf tissues of the cultivars were analyzed for 9 weeks. The results showed that 8W-H is prevalent in the expression levels of APETALA1, APETALA2, SPL4, SILPT3, and beta-glucosidase genes, while 9W-H was mostly observed in the expression levels of SOC1 and AT4G37770 genes.

Conclusions

The antioxidant properties of sesame seeds, attributed to compounds like sesamin and sesamolin, counteract oxidative stress through enzymatic activities. The single-capsule cultivar exhibited the highest antioxidant levels, potentially due to efficient antioxidant transfer from leaves to seeds, while enzyme activities peaked before flowering, suggesting preparation for the flowering transition. Transcript profiling revealed key transcription factors regulating hormone response and floral organ identity, with genes related to nutrient assimilation playing critical roles in flower growth. Further research is needed for the functional characterization of candidate genes, and combining transcriptome data from diverse genotypes and developmental stages could enhance understanding of sesame flower development.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Faculty Member Training Program (ÖYP) for financial support of this study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest related to this article. This study has been derived from the PhD thesis of Aynur Bilmez Özçinar. Hüseyin Arslan served as the primary advisor and Behcet İnal served as the secondary advisor.

References

- ISLAM M.S., ALI M.T., HASAN M.K., WAIS A.H., HAKIM M.A., GOLAM HAFEEZ A.S., BARI A.K., CHOWDHURY M.K. Response of sulphur and zinc on the growth and yield traits of Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) at old Himalayan piedmont plain soil. ISPEC Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 3 (1), 98, 2019.
- 2. MUJTABA M.A., CHO H.M., MASJUKI H.H. Critical review on sesame seed oil and its methyl ester on cold flow and oxidation stability. Energy Reports, **6**, 40, **2020**.
- MYINT D., GILANI S.A., KAWASE M., WATANABE K.N. Sustainable Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) production through improved technology: An overview of production, challenges, and opportunities in Myanmar. Sustainability, 12, 3515, 2020.
- SURESH K.S., SURESH P.V., KUDRE, T.G. Prospective ecofuel feedstocks for sustainable production. In Advances in Eco-Fuels for a Sustainable Environment, Woodhead Publishing, United Kingdom, pp. 89, 2019.
- JUNMIN J., YULAN L., LONGKAI S., NANNAN W., XUEDE W. Effect of roasting treatment on the chemical composition of sesame oil. LWT - Food Science and Technology, 101, 191, 2019.
- MEKKY R.H., ABDEL-SATTAR E., SEGURA-CARRETERO A, CONTRERAS M.D.M. Phenolic compounds from sesame cake and antioxidant activity: A new insight for agri-food residues' significance for sustainable development. Foods, 8 (10), 432, 2019.
- KUMAR V., SINHA S., SINHA S., SINGH R.S., SINGH S.N. Assessment of genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, 13 (1), 208, 2022.
- OZCINAR, A.B., ARSLAN, H. Effects of different irrigation levels on selected crop parameters of Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) under semi-arid highland conditions in Turkey. Avrupa Bilim ve Teknoloji Dergisi, 35, 282, 2022.
- KURT C., UÇAR B., AKKAYA M.R. Determination of total phenolic content and antioxidant activities of different sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) genotypes. Turkish Journal of Agriculture - Food Science and Technology, 8, 1778, 2020.
- HABIBULLAH M., SARKAR S., ISLAM M.M., AHMED K.U, RAHMAN M.Z., AWAD M.F., ELSAYED A.I., MANSOUR E., HOSSAIN M.S. Assessing the response of diverse sesame genotypes to waterlogging durations at different plant growth stages. Plants, 10, 2294, 2021.
- EL-HADY A., MOHAMED A., ABD-ELKREM Y.M., RADY M.O., MANSOUR E., EL-TARABILY K.A., ABUQAMAR S.F., EL-TEMSAH M.E. Impact on plant productivity under low fertility sandy soil in arid environment by revitalization of lentil roots. Frontiers in Plant Sciences, 13, 937073, 2022.
- DURANTE M., MILANO F., DE CAROLI M., GIOTTA L., PIRO G., MITA G., FRIGIONE M., LENUCCI M.S. Tomato oil encapsulation by α-, β-, and γ-Cyclodextrins: A comparative study on the formation of supramolecular structures, antioxidant activity, and carotenoid stability. Foods, 9 (11), 1553, 2020.

- MONTERA V.D.S.P., MARTINS A.P.B., BORGES C.A., CANELLA D.S. Distribution and patterns of use of food additives in foods and beverages available in Brazilian supermarkets. Food & Function, 12 (17), 7699, 2021.
- 14. AZABOU S., SEBII H., TAHEUR F.B., ABID Y., JRIDI M., NASRI M. Phytochemical profile and antioxidant properties of tomato by-products as affected by extraction solvents and potential application in refined olive oils. Food Bioscience, 36, 100664, 2020.
- FENG K., HOU X.L., XING G.M., LIU J.X., DUAN A.Q., XU Z.S., LI M.Y., ZHUANG J., XIONG A.S. Advances in AP2/ERF super-family transcription factors in plant, Critical Reviews in Biotechnology, 40, 750, 2020.
- 16. ABDULLAH-ZAWAWI M.R., AHMAD-NIZAMMUDDIN N.F., GOVENDER N., HARUN S., MOHD ASSAAD N., MOHAMED-HUSSEIN Z.A. Comparative genomewide analysis of WRKY, MADS-box and MYB transcription factor families in Arabidopsis and rice. Scientific Reports, 11, 1, 2021.
- LI D., HE Y., LI S., SHI S., LI L., LIU Y. Genomewide characterization and expression analysis of AP2/ ERF genes in eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 167, 492, 2021.
- GUYOMARC'H S., BOUTTÉ Y., LAPLAZE L. AP2/ERF transcription factors orchestrate very long chain fatty acid biosynthesis during Arabidopsis lateral root development. Molecular Plant, 14 (2), 205, 2021.
- WU D., ZHANG K., LI C.Y., XIE G.W., LU M.T., QIAN Y., SHU Y., SHEN Q. Genome-wide comprehensive characterization and transcriptomic analysis of AP2/ERF gene family revealed its role in seed oil and ALA formation in perilla (*Perilla frutescens*). Gene, **889**, 147808, **2023**.
- VERMA S., ATTULURI V.P.S., ROBERT H.S. Transcriptional control of Arabidopsis seed development. Planta, 255 (4), 90, 2022.
- NOWAK K., MOROŃCZYK J., GRZYB M., SZCZYGIEŁ-SOMMER A., GAJ M.D. miR172 regulates WUS during somatic embryogenesis in Arabidopsis via AP2. Cells, 11 (4), 718, 2022.
- 22. YUAN Y.X., WU J., SUN R.F., ZHANG X.W., XU D.H., BONNEMA G., WANG X.W. A naturally occurring splicing site mutation in the *Brassica rapa* FLC1 gene is associated with variation in flowering time. Journal of Experimental Botany, **60** (4), 1299, **2009**.
- ZHANG X., FATIMA M., ZHOU P., MA Q., MING R. Analysis of MADS-box genes revealed modified flowering gene network and diurnal expression in pineapple. BMC Genomics, 21, 1, 2020.
- WON S.Y., JUNG J-A., KIM J.S. Genome-wide analysis of the MADS-box gene family in chrysanthemum. Computational Biology and Chemistry, 90, 107424, 2021.
- 25. WANG S., CHEN J., ZHANG W., HU Y., CHANG L., FANG L., WANG Q., LV F., WU H., SI Z., CHEN S., CAI C., ZHU X., ZHOU B., GUO W., ZHANG T. Sequencebased ultra-dense genetic and physical maps reveal structural variations of allopolyploid cotton genomes. Genome Biology, 16, 108, 2015.
- 26. SCHILLING S., KENNEDY A., PAN S., JERMIIN L.S., MELZER R. Genome-wide analysis of MIKC-type MADSbox genes in wheat: pervasive duplications, functional conservation and putative neofunctionalization. New Phytologist, 225 (1), 511, 2020.
- KUMAR R., KHURANA A., SHARMA A.K. Role of plant hormones and their interplay in development and ripening of fleshy fruits. Journal of Experimental Botany, 65 (16), 4561, 2013.

- SRIKANTH A., SCHMID M. Regulation of flowering time: all roads lead to Rome. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 68, 2013, 2011.
- 29. IZAWA T. What is going on with the hormonal control of flowering in plants? The Plant Journal, **105** (2), 431, **2021**.
- AUGE G.A., PENFIELD S., DONOHUE K. Pleiotropy in developmental regulation by flowering-pathway genes: Is it an evolutionary constraint? New Phytologist, 224 (1), 55, 2019.
- SHAN H., CHENG J., ZHANG R., YAO X., KONG H. Developmental mechanisms involved in the diversification of flowers. Nature Plants, 5 (9), 917, 2019.
- FREYTES S.N., CANELO M., CERDÁN P.D. Regulation of flowering time: When and where? Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 63, 102049, 2021.
- PAN R., XU L., WEI Q., WU C., TANG W., OELMÜLLER R., ZHANG W. *Piriformospora indica* promotes early flowering in Arabidopsis through regulation of the photoperiod and gibberellin pathways. PLoS One, 12 (12), e0189791, 2017.
- 34. IRISH V.F. The flowering of Arabidopsis flower development. The Plant Journal, **61** (6), 1014, **2010**.
- 35. XIE Y., ZHOU Q., ZHAO Y., LI Q., LIU Y., MA M., WANG B., SHEN R. ZHENG Z., WANG, H. FHY3 and FAR1 integrate light signals with the miR156-SPL module-mediated aging pathway to regulate Arabidopsis flowering. Molecular Plant, **13** (3), 483, **2020**.
- 36. TESFAYE T., TESFAYE K., KENENI G., ALEMU T., ALEMU A. Genome-wide association study for yieldrelated traits in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Plant Breeding, 141 (2), 246, 2022.
- 37. LIPKA V., DITTGEN J., BEDNAREK P., BHAT R., WIERMER M., STEIN M., LANDTAG J., BRANDT W., ROSAHL S., SCHEEL D., LLORENTE F., MOLINA A., PARKER J., SOMERVILLE S., SCHULZE-LEFERT P. Pre- and post-invasion defenses both contribute to nonhost resistance in Arabidopsis. Science **310**, 1180, **2005**.
- ARSLAN H., GÜR M.A. Effects of phosphorus and nitrogen application on sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) yield in semi-arid climatic conditions. International Journal of Scientific and Technological Research, 4 (4), 483, 2018.
- DINIS T.C.P., MADEIRA V.M.C., ALMEIDA L.M. Action of phenolic derivatives (acetaminophen, salicylate, and 5-aminosalicylate) assay inhibitors of membrane lipid peroxidation and assay peroxyl radical scavengers. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics, 315 (1), 161, 1994.
- BENZIE I.F., SZETO Y.T. Total antioxidant capacity of teas by the ferric reducing/antioxidant power assay. Journal of Agriculture Food Chemistry, 47 (2), 633. 1999.
- BLOIS M.S. Antioxidant determinations by the use of a stable free radical. Nature, 29, 1199, 1958.
- 42. AEBI H. Catalase in vitro. Methods in Enzymology, 105, 121, 1984.
- 43. KALIN R. Bitkisel Kaynaklardan Peroksidaz Enziminin Saflaştırılması ve Rasemik Hidroperoksidin Enantiyo Seçimli İndirgenmesi, Kimya Anabilim Dalı, Biyokimya Bilim Dalı, Atatürk Üniversitesi, Erzurum. 2018.
- 44. ZHAO H., FAN W., DONG J., LU J., CHEN J., SHAN L., LIN Y., KONG W. Evaluation of antioxidant activities and total phenolic contents of typical malting barley varieties. Food Chemistry, 107, 296, 2008.
- SHANNON S., MEEKS-WAGNER D.R. Genetic interactions that regulate inflorescence development in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell Online, 5 (6), 639, 1993.

- 46. TAJIMA D., KANEKO A., SAKAMOTO M., ITO Y., HUE N.T., MIYAZAKI M., ISHIBASHI Y., YUASA T., IWAYAINOUE M. Wrinkled 1 (WRI1) Homologs, AP2-Type transcription factors involving master regulation of seed storage oil synthesis in castor bean (*Ricinus communis* L.). American Journal of Plant Sciences, 4, 333, 2013.
- 47. IBÁEZ-SALAZAR A., ROSALES-MENDOZA S., ROCHA-URIBE A., RAMÍREZ-ALONSO J.I., LARA-HERNÁNDEZ I., HERNÁNDEZ-TORRES A., PAZ-MALDONADO L.M.T., SILVA-RAMÍREZ A.S., BAUELOS-HERNÁNDEZ B., MARTÍNEZ-SALGADO J.L., SORIA-GUERRA R.E. Over-expression of Doftype transcription factor increases lipid production in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Journal of Biotechnology, 184, 27, 2014.
- 48. YAO T., PARK B.S., MAO H.Z., SEO J.S., OHAMA N., LI Y., YU N., MUSTAFA N.F.B., HUANG C.H., CHUA N.H. Regulation of flowering time by SPL10/MED25 module in Arabidopsis. New Phytologist, 224 (1), 493, 2019.
- 49. MEHDI L., AHMED Z., OUASSILA L., ABDESLAM-HASSEN M. Supereritical fluid extraction of oil from (de-hulled and ground) sesame seeds: Statistical and phenomenological modelling. In Proceedings of the 2020 11th International Renewable Energy Congress (IREC), Hammamet, Tunisia, pp. 1, **2020**.
- EL-ROBY A.M., HAMMAD K.S.M., GALAL S.M. Enhancing oxidative stability of sunflower oil with sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) coat ultrasonic extract rich in polyphenols. Journal of Food Processing and Preservation, 44, e14564, 2020.
- PRAKASH K., NAIK S.N., YADAV U. Effects of Sesame Seed Oil (Black/White) as a Natural Antioxidant on the Oxidative and Frying Stability of Linseed Oil. European Jopuranl of Nutrition and Food Safety, 12, 133, 2020.
- 52. DRAVIE E.E., KORTEI N.K., ESSUMAN E.K., TETTEY C.O., BOAKYE A.A., HUNKPE G. Antioxidant,

phytochemical and physicochemical properties of sesame seed (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Science African, **8**, e00349, **2020**.

- OTHMAN S.B., KATSUNO N., KANAMARU Y., YABE T. Water-soluble extracts from defatted sesame seed flour show antioxidant activity in vitro. Food Chemistry, 175, 306, 2015.
- 54. RUSLAN K., HAPPYNIAR S., FIDRIANNY I. Antioxidant potential of two varieties of *Sesamum indicum* L. collected from Indonesia. Journal of Taibah University Medical Sciences, **13** (3), 211, **2018**.
- 55. CHOWDHARY V., ALOOPARAMPIL S., PANDYA R.V., TANK J.G. Physiological function of phenolic compounds in plant defense system. In Phenolic compounds -Chemistry, synthesis, diversity, non-conventional industrial, pharmaceutical and therapeutic applications, Ed. Farid A. BADRIA, 2021.
- 56. EL-FAWY M.M., AHMED M.M.SH., ABO-ELYOUSR K.A.M. Resistance enhancement of faba bean plants to rust disease by some compounds and plant extracts. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protectrion, 54, 2067, 2021.
- MICHALAK A. Phenolic compounds and their antioxidant activity in plants growing under heavy metal stress. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, 15 (4), 523-530, 2006.
- 58. ZHOU Y., ZHANG C., ZHANG L., YE Q., LIU N., WANG M., FAN W., LONG M., WING R.A. Gene fusion as an important mechanism to generate new genes in the genus Oryza. Genome Biology, 23 (1), 1, 2022.
- 59. SONG S., YOU J., SHI L., SHENG C., ZHOU W., DOSSOU S.S.K., DOSSA K., WANG L., ZHANG X. Genome-Wide Analysis of nsLTP Gene Family and Identification of SiLTPs Contributing to High Oil Accumulation in Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). International Journal of Moloecular Sicences, 22, 5291, 2021.