

Table 1. Basic information table of sampling points

Simple Sites			Longitude and Latitude		Altitude (m)	
River system	Name	Number	Longitude	Latitude		
The SRYR	Dangqu	Dangqu Upstream	JY-01	94°32'42"	32°41'08"	4881
		Dangqu Bridge 1	JY-02	94°12'55"	32°52'14"	4676
		Dangqu Bridge 2	JY-03	93°50'51"	32°53'03"	4653
		Yanshiping	JY-04	92°04'26"	33°36'02"	4525
		Buqu	JY-05	92°21'57"	33°51'43"	4525
		Gaerqu	JY-06	92°21'58"	33°51'57"	4662
	Chumaer River		JY-07	93°18'15"	35°18'23"	4479
	Tuotuo River		JY-08	92°26'37"	34°19'51"	4483
	Tongtian River	Nangjibalong	JY-09	93°01'00"	34°07'40"	4401
		Keqianqu	JY-10	94°50'40"	34°11'14"	4486
		Nieqiaqu	JY-11	95°49'29"	34°01'11"	4016
		Qumalai	JY-12	95°49'16"	34°01'30"	4024
		Zhimenda	JY-13	97°14'17"	33°01'00"	3494
The SRLR	Zhaqu	Moyun Town	JY-14	94°14'53"	33°09'52"	4497
		Zhaqu Bridge	JY-15	94°36'44"	33°12'01"	4335
		Zaduo	JY-16	95°15'26"	32°54'07"	4031
		Nangqian	JY-17	96°27'08"	32°18'53"	3609

base titration (methyl orange as an indicator and diluted hydrochloric acid titration) with an error of less than 5%.

Sediment Sample

After being naturally dried, sand and gravel were removed from the sediment. It was ground before passing through a mesh sieve with a pore size of 0.075 mm. According to the literature method [45-47], sediment samples were digested by a Microwave Digestion Instrument (MARS6, USA). Metallic elements in the sediment were detected by an Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer with a detection limit of 0.04~2.0 mg/kg and a recovery rate of 75~120%. Hg in the sediment was detected by an Atomic Fluorescence Spectrophotometer with a detection limit of 0.002 mg/kg and a recovery rate of 80%.

Analytical Method

The hydrochemical characteristics, distribution characteristics, and source of the main ions were analyzed by the Piper plot, Gibbs diagram, and Ion ratio. The possible sources of metallic elements in sediment were analyzed by combining correlation analysis with principal component analysis. The analysis software was SPSS 26. The mapping software was Origin 2021.

Results and Discussion

Distribution Characteristics and Source of Ions in Water

Physical and Chemical Properties Analysis

According to the study results (Table 2), the main rivers in the SRYR were alkaline, and the

temperature difference was large. The pH range was 8.2~8.8. The water temperature range was 7.5~18.6°C. The dissolved oxygen (DO) range was 7.0~9.3 mg/L. The redox potential (ORP) range was 16.0~109 mV. The conductivity range was 333~5326 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. The total dissolved solids (TDS) range was 145~2875 mg/L.

Similar to the SRYR, the main rivers in the SRLR were alkaline, and the temperature difference was large. The pH range was between 8.3 and 8.6. The water temperature range was 9.7~17.3°C. The DO range was 7.6~8.8 mg/L. The ORP range was 22.3~56.9 mV. The conductivity range was 709~2160 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. The TDS range was 423~1281 mg/L.

The average value of TDS in the source region was 647.3 mg/L and 702.2 mg/L, both of which were much larger than the world average of 150 mg/L [48]. It might be caused by geotectonic movement [34]. The uplift of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau is the result of tectonic movement, which caused landform ups and downs, and led to the exposure of fresh rocks to accelerate the weathering process [49, 50]. It had caused an increase in the content of ions, such as K^+ , Na^+ , Ca^{2+} , and Mg^{2+} , entering the river basin through weathering, and TDS was closely related to these ions. Therefore, the tectonic movement had affected the TDS content, making it higher than the world average. The total hardness (TH) range in the SRYR was 279~693 mg/L, and the average value was 647.3 mg/L. The TH range in the SRLR was 338~812 mg/L, and the average value was 620.2 mg/L. It was much larger than the average value of the Yangtze River and the Lancang River basins (291.6 mg/L and 164.4 mg/L), which indicated that the water hardness in the source area was relatively high [51-53].

Most of the river water in the source region was fresh water, with medium or high hardness, and only a small

Table 5. Pearson correlation coefficient of metallic elements in sediments

Areas	Ions	Li	Be	Ti	V	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Se	Sr	Cd	Sb	Pb	
The SRLR	Li	1																
	Be	0.089	1															
	Ti	-0.42	0.543	1														
	V	-0.443	0.774	0.92	1													
	Cr	-0.111	0.924	0.823	0.937	1												
	Mn	0.297	0.977*	0.454	0.659	0.872	1											
	Fe	-0.299	0.696	0.980*	0.954*	0.918	0.623	1										
	Co	-0.188	0.928	0.809	0.952*	0.994**	0.857	0.902	1									
	Ni	0.063	0.976*	0.693	0.848	0.978*	0.955*	0.823	0.967*	1								
	Cu	-0.704	0.643	0.681	0.876	0.729	0.466	0.70	0.795	0.637	1							
	Zn	-0.872	0.185	0.807	0.754	0.481	0.006	0.708	0.52	0.292	0.784	1						
	Se	0.706	-0.297	-0.084	-0.382	-0.215	-0.115	-0.095	-0.32	-0.175	-0.778	-0.459	1					
	Sr	-0.573	0.764	0.74	0.934	0.841	0.612	0.784	0.892	0.768	0.983*	0.728	-0.682	1				
	Cd	-0.789	0.533	0.754	0.881	0.688	0.347	0.737	0.749	0.562	0.981*	0.889	-0.715	0.952*	1			
	Sb	-0.655	0.664	0.845	0.961*	0.822	0.504	0.853	0.865	0.711	0.967*	0.85	-0.596	0.976*	0.978*	1		
	Pb	-0.438	0.819	0.865	0.993**	0.941	0.7	0.915	0.965*	0.867	0.909	0.715	-0.464	0.964*	0.893	0.966*	1	
	The SRYR	Li	1															
Be		0.836**	1															
Ti		0.641*	0.521	1														
V		0.720**	0.529	0.960**	1													
Cr		0.431	0.760**	0.214	0.102	1												
Mn		0.842**	0.957**	0.584*	0.581*	0.765**	1											
Fe		0.836**	0.680*	0.919**	0.967**	0.268	0.731**	1										
Co		0.894**	0.901**	0.790**	0.782**	0.615*	0.894**	0.883**	1									
Ni		0.700**	0.900**	0.468	0.391	0.867**	0.847**	0.538	0.866**	1								
Cu		0.748**	0.827**	0.850**	0.781**	0.582*	0.815**	0.818**	0.926**	0.817**	1							
Zn		0.316	0.186	0.001	0.182	-0.25	0.146	0.232	0.085	-0.137	-0.035	1						
Se		0.438	0.699**	0.416	0.268	0.869**	0.688**	0.394	0.707**	0.896**	0.696**	-0.449	1					
Sr		0.19	0.589*	-0.082	-0.156	0.758**	0.50	0.01	0.391	0.713**	0.364	-0.007	0.645*	1				
Cd		-0.178	-0.362	-0.411	-0.23	-0.580	-0.366	-0.237	-0.471	-0.628	-0.565	0.806**	-0.830	-0.311	1			
Sb		0.346	0.07	-0.073	0.147	-0.351	0.11	0.234	0.032	-0.212	-0.177	0.716**	-0.418	-0.223	0.672*	1		
Pb		0.629*	0.776**	0.176	0.268	0.422	0.724**	0.433	0.569*	0.528	0.44	0.653*	0.262	0.553*	0.188	0.455	1	

Note: **, extremely significant correlation ($p < 0.01$); *, significant correlation ($p < 0.05$).

